arXiv:1203.3544v2 [hep-th] 6 Jul 2012

Preprint typeset in JHEP style - HYPER VERSION

TFR/TH/12-05
IPMU12-0037

Constraints on Fluid Dynamics from Equilibrium Partition Functions

Nabamita Banerjee^{a,d}, Jyotirmoy Bhattacharya^{c}, Sayantani Bhattacharyya^{b}, Sachin Jain^{a}, Shiraz Minwalla^{a} and Tarun Sharma^{a}

^{a}*Dept. of Theoretical Physics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Rd, Mumbai 400005, India.*
^{b}*Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Chhatnag Road, Jhunsi, Allahabad-211019.*
^{c}*Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (IPMU), University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8582, Japan*
^{d} *Institute for Theoretical Physics, Utrecht University, Leuvenlaan 4, 3584 CE Utrecht, The Netherlands*
Email: **N.Banerjee@uu.nl, jyotirmoy.bhattacharya@ipmu.jp, sayanta@hri.res.in, minwalla@theory.tifr.res.in, sachin@theory.tifr.res.in, tarun@theory.tifr.res.in**

Abstract: We study the thermal partition function of quantum field theories on arbitrary stationary background spacetime, and with arbitrary stationary background gauge fields, in the long wavelength expansion. We demonstrate that the equations of relativistic hydrodynamics are significantly constrained by the requirement of consistency with any partition function. In examples at low orders in the derivative expansion we demonstrate that these constraints coincide precisely with the equalities between hydrodynamical transport coefficients that follow from the local form of the second law of thermodynamics. In particular we recover the results of Son and Surowka on the chiral magnetic and chiral vorticity flows, starting from a local partition function that manifestly reproduces the field theory anomaly, without making any reference to an entropy current. We conjecture that the relations between transport coefficients that follow from the second law of thermodynamics agree to all orders in the derivative expansion with the constraints described in this paper.

Contents

1. Introduction and Summary 2

1.1 Equilibrium partition functions on weakly curved manifolds 3
1.2 Constraints on Fluid Dynamics from stationary equilibrium 7

2. Preparatory Material 11

2.1 Kaluza Klein Reduction Formulae 12
2.2 Kaluza Klein gauge transformations 13
2.3 Stress Tensor and $U(1)$ current 14
2.4 Consistent and Covariant Anomalies 18
2.5 Perfect fluid hydrodynamics from the zero derivative partition function 20

3. $3+1$ dimensional Charged fluid dynamics at first order in the derivative expansion 22

3.1 Equilibrium from Hydrodynamics 22
3.2 Equilibrium from the Partition Function 25
3.3 Constraints on Hydrodynamics 26
3.4 The Entropy Current 27
3.5 Entropy current with non-negative divergence 29
3.6 CPT Invariance 31

4. Parity odd first order charged fluid dynamics in 2+1 dimensions 31

4.1 Equilibrium from Hydrodynamics 31
4.2 Equilibrium from the Partition Function 34
4.3 Constraints on Hydrodynamics 35
4.4 The Entropy Current 37
4.5 Comparison with Jensen et.al. 39
4.6 Constraints from CPT invariance 39

5. $3+1$ dimensional uncharged fluid dynamics at second order in the derivative expansion 40

5.1 Equilibrium from Hydrodynamics 41
5.2 Equilibrium from the Partition Function 45
5.3 Constraints on Hydrodynamics 45
5.4 The Entropy Current 47
5.5 The conformal limit 51

6. Counting for second order charged fluids in 3+1 dimensions 52
6.1 Parity Invariant case 52
6.2 Parity Violating case 53

7. Open Questions 54

A. First order charged fluid dynamics from equilibrium in 3+1 dimensions 57

B. First order parity odd charged fluid dynamics from equilibrium in 2+1 dimension 59

C. Second order uncharged fluid dynamics from equilibrium in 3+1 dimensions 60

---

1. Introduction and Summary

In this paper we explore the structural constraints imposed on the equations of relativistic hydrodynamics by two related physical requirements. First that these equations admit a stationary solution on an arbitrarily weakly curved stationary background spacetime. Second that the conserved currents (e.g. the stress tensor) on the corresponding solution follow from an equilibrium partition function.

Landau-Lifshitz [1], and several subsequent authors, have emphasized another source of constraints on the equations of hydrodynamics, namely that the equations are consistent with a local form of the second law of thermodynamics. As is well known, this requirement imposes inequalities on several parameters (like viscosities and conductivities) that appear in the equations of hydrodynamics. It is perhaps less well appreciated that the requirement of local entropy increase also yields equalities relating otherwise distinct fluid dynamical parameters, and so reduces the number of free parameters that appear in the equations of fluid dynamics (see e.g. [1, 2], for more recent work inspired by the AdS/CFT correspondence see e.g. [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]). In three specific examples we demonstrate below that the equalities obtained from the comparison with equilibrium (described in the previous paragraph) agree exactly with the equalities between coefficients obtained from the local second law of thermodynamics. These results lead us to conjecture that the constraints obtained from these two a naively distinct physical requirements infact always coincide. We leave a fuller investigation of this conjecture to future work.

In this paper we nowhere utilize the AdS/CFT correspondence. However our work is motivated by the potential utility of our results in an investigation of the constraints imposed by the second law of thermodynamics on higher derivative corrections to Einstein's equations [12], via the Fluid - Gravity map of AdS/CFT ([13], see [14, 15] for reviews).

In the rest of this section we summarize our procedure and results in detail. In subsection 1.1 below we describe the structure of equilibrium partition functions for field theories on stationary spacetimes in an expansion in derivatives of the background spacetime metric (and gauge fields). In subsection 1.2 we then describe the constraints on the equations of relativistic hydrodynamics imposed by the structure of the partition functions described in subsection 1.1. In three examples we compare these constraints to those obtained from the requirement of entropy increase and find perfect agreement in each case.

1.1 Equilibrium partition functions on weakly curved manifolds

Consider a relativistically invariant quantum field theory on a manifold with a timelike killing vector. By a suitable choice of coordinates, any such manifold may be put in the form

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\sigma(\vec{x})}\left(dt + a_i(\vec{x})dx^i\right)^2 + g_{ij}(\vec{x})dx^i dx^j \tag{1.1}$$

where $i = 1 \ldots p$. ∂_t is the killing vector on this manifold, while the coordinates $\vec{x}$ parametrize spatial slices. Here σ, a_i, g_{ij} are smooth functions of coordinates $\vec{x}$.

Let H denote the Hamiltonian that generates translations of the time coordinate t. In this subsection we address the following question. What can we say, on general symmetry grounds, about the dependence of the the partition function of the system

$$Z = \text{Tr} e^{-\frac{H}{T_0}}, \tag{1.2}$$

on σ, g_{ij} and a_i? In this paper we focus on the long wavelength limit, i.e. on manifolds whose curvature length scales are much larger than the 'mean free path' of the thermal fluid [1]. In this limit the question formulated above may addressed using the techniques of effective field theory. In the long wavelength limit the background manifold may be thought of as a union of approximately flat patches, in each of which the system is in a local flat space thermal equilibrium at the locally red shifted temperature

$$T(x) = e^{-\sigma}T_0 + \ldots \tag{1.3}$$

---

[1] Equilibrium Partition functions special curved manifolds or with particular background gauge fields have been studied before in [16, 17, 18]

(where T_0 is the equilibrium temperature of the system and the ... represent derivative corrections, see below). Consequently the partition function of the system is given by

$$\ln Z = \int d^p x \sqrt{g_p} \frac{1}{T(x)} P(T(x)) + \ldots \tag{1.4}$$

where $P(T)$ is the thermodynamical function that computes the pressure as a function of temperature in flat space. Substituting (1.3) into (1.4) we find

$$\ln Z = \int d^p x \sqrt{g_p} \frac{e^\sigma}{T_0} P(T_0 e^{-\sigma}) + \ldots \tag{1.5}$$

The ... in (1.5) denote corrections to $\ln Z$ in an expansion in derivatives of the background metric. At any given order in the derivative expansion these correction are determined, by the requirement of diffeomorphism invariance, in terms of a finite number of unspecified functions of σ. For example, to second order in the derivative expansion, p dimensional diffeomorphism invariance and $U(1)$ gauge invariance of the Kaluza Klein field a constrain the action to take the form

$$\begin{aligned}\log Z = W = -\frac{1}{2}\Bigg(&\int d^p x \sqrt{g_p} \frac{e^\sigma}{T_0} P(T_0 e^{-\sigma}) \\ &+ \int d^p x \sqrt{g_p} \big(P_1(\sigma) R + T_0^2 P_2(\sigma) (\partial_i a_j - \partial_j a_i)^2 + P_3(\sigma)(\nabla\sigma)^2 \big) \Bigg)\end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where $P_1(\sigma)$, $P_2(\sigma)$ and $P_3(\sigma)$ are arbitrary functions. It is possible to demonstrate on general grounds that the temperature dependence of these functions is given by

$$P_i(\sigma) = \tilde{P}_i(T_0 e^{-\sigma}) \tag{1.7}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\log Z = W = -\frac{1}{2}\Bigg(&\int d^p x \sqrt{g_p} \frac{e^\sigma}{T_0} P(T_0 e^{-\sigma}) \\ &+ \int d^p x \sqrt{g_p} \big(\tilde{P}_1(T_0 e^{-\sigma}) R + T_0^2 \tilde{P}_2(T_0 e^{-\sigma}) (\partial_i a_j - \partial_j a_i)^2 + \tilde{P}_3(T_0 e^{-\sigma})(\nabla\sigma)^2 \big) \Bigg)\end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

The discussion above is easily generalized to the study of a relativistic fluid which possesses a conserved current J_μ corresponding to a global $U(1)$ charge. We work on the manifold (1.1) in the presence of a time independent background $U(1)$ gauge connection

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0(\vec{x}) dx^0 + \mathcal{A}_i(\vec{x}) dx^i \tag{1.9}$$

and study the partition function

$$Z = \mathrm{Tr} e^{-\frac{H - \mu_0 Q}{T_0}} \tag{1.10}$$

Later in the paper we present a detailed study of the special case of charged fluid dynamics in $p = 3$ and $p = 2$ spatial dimensions, at first order in the derivative expansion, without imposing the requirement of parity invariance. Let us first consider the case $p = 3$. The requirements of three dimensional diffeomorphism invariance, Kaluza Klein gauge invariance, and $U(1)$ gauge invariance upto an anomaly[2] (see below) force the partition function to take the form[3]

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln Z &= W^0 + W^1_{inv} + W^1_{anom} \\
W^0 &= \int \sqrt{g_3} \frac{e^\sigma}{T_0} P\left(T_0 e^{-\sigma}, e^{-\sigma} A_0\right) \\
W^1_{inv} &= \frac{C_0}{2} \int AdA + \frac{T_0^2 C_1}{2} \int ada + \frac{T_0 C_2}{2} \int Ada \\
W^1_{anom} &= \frac{C}{2} \left(\int \frac{A_0}{3T_0} AdA + \frac{A_0^2}{6T_0} Ada \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

where A_i

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0 &= \mathcal{A}_0 + \mu_0 \\
A_i &= \mathcal{A}_i - A_0 a_i
\end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

(1.11) is written in terms of A_i because A_i, unlike $\mathcal{A}_i$, is Kaluza Klein gauge invariant[4].

W^0 in (1.11) is zero derivative contribution to the partition function, and is the patchwise approximation to equilibrium, in the spirit of (1.5). W^1_{inv} is the most general diffeomorphism and gauge invariant one derivative correction to W^0. Note that W^1 is the sum of a Chern Simons term for the connection A, a Chern Simons term for the connection a and a mixed Chern Simons term in A and a. As usual, gauge invariance forces the coefficients C_0, C_1 and C_2 of these Chern Simons terms to be constants.

(1.11) is the most general form of the partition function of our system that satisfies the requirements of 3 dimensional diffeomorphism invariance and gauge invariance. If

---

[2] In this paper we only consider the effect of $U(1)^3$ anomalies ignoring the effects of . for instance, mixed gravity-gauge anomalies. A systematic study of the effect of these anomalies in fluid dynamics would require us to extend our analysis of charged fluid dynamics to 2nd order, a task we leave for the future (see however section 6). It is possible that C_2 above will turn out to be determined in terms of such an anomaly coefficient. We thank R. Loganayagam for pointing this out to us.

[3] Our convention is

$$\frac{1}{2}\int X dY = \int d^3x \sqrt{g_3} \epsilon^{ijk} X_i \partial_j Y_k \ , \quad \frac{1}{2}\int dY = \int d^2x \sqrt{g_2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i Y_j \ .$$

[4] The background data can be taken as gauge field $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_i)$ with constant chemical potential μ_0 and temperature T_0. Equivalently we can think of the system to have background gauge field $B = (\mathcal{A}_0 + \mu_0, \mathcal{A}_i)$ with no chemical potential. These two are equivalent physical statements as μ_0 can be absorbed in the constant part of $\mathcal{A}_0$.

we, in addition, impose the requirement of CPT invariance of the underlying four dimensional field theory then it turns out that $C_0 = C_1 = 0$ (see subsection 3.6). In other words, the requirement of CPT invariance allows only the mixed Chern Simons term, setting the 'pure' Chern Simons terms to zero.

W^1_{anom} is the part of the effective action that is not gauge invariant under $U(1)$ gauge transformations. [5] Its gauge variation under $A_\mu \to A_\mu + \partial_\mu \phi(\vec{x})$ is given by

$$\delta W^1_{anom} = \frac{C}{24T_0} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g_4} * (\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F})\ \phi(x) \tag{1.13}$$

As we explain in much more detail below, this is exactly the variation of the effective action predicted by the anomalous conservation equation

$$\nabla_\mu \tilde{J}^\mu = -\frac{C}{8} * (\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F}) \tag{1.14}$$

where $\tilde{J}$ is the gauge invariant $U(1)$ charge current, and $*$ denotes the Hodge dual.

Let us now turn to parity violating charged fluid dynamics in $p = 2$ spatial dimensions. In this case there is no anomaly in the system and the parity odd sector is qualitatively much different from its $p = 3$ spatial dimension counterpart. For this system we primarily focus on the parity odd sector upto the first order in derivative expansion and the manifestly gauge invariant partition function in this case takes the form

$$\ln Z = \mathcal{W}^0 + \mathcal{W}, \tag{1.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}^0 &= \int \sqrt{g_2} \frac{e^\sigma}{T_0} P\left(T_0 e^{-\sigma}, e^{-\sigma} A_0\right) \\ \mathcal{W} &= \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\alpha(\sigma, A_0)\ dA + T_0\ \beta(\sigma, A_0)\ da\right). \end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

Where A_0 and A_i are defined in (1.12) and α and β are arbitrary functions.

It is straightforward, if tedious, to generalize the form of the partition function presented in special examples above to higher orders in the derivative expansion. To any given order in the derivative expansion, the dependence of $\ln Z$, on g_{ij}, a_i, σ, A_0 and A_i is fixed by the requirements of p dimensional diffeomorphism invariance and gauge invariance in terms of a finite number of unspecified functions of two variables, σ and A_0.

We will now define some terminology that will prove useful in the sequel. Let s^n_e denote the number of independent gauge invariant scalar expressions that one can construct out of σ, a_i (and A_0 and A_i in the case that the fluid is charged) at n^{th} order in the derivative expansion. In a similar manner, v_e^n and t_e^n will denote the number of n^{th} order independent gauge invariant vectors and (traceless symmetric two index) tensors formed out of the same quantities. Finally let st_e^n denote the total number of n^{th} order scalar expressions that happen to be total derivatives (including the contribution of a coefficient function) and so integrate to zero [6] It is clear that at n^{th} order in the derivative expansion, the equilibrium action $\ln Z$ depends on $s_e^n - st_e^n$ unknown functions of two variables.

---

[5] It is striking that the effect of the anomaly can be captured by a local term in the 3 dimensional effective action. Note that W^1 cannot be written as the dimensional reduction of a local contribution to the 4 dimensional action, in agreement with general expectations.

1.2 Constraints on Fluid Dynamics from stationary equilibrium

1.2.1 Relativistic Hydrodynamics

In this subsubsection we present a lightening review of the structure of the equations of charged relativistic hydrodynamics. The equations of hydrodynamics are simply the equations of conservation of the stress tensor and the charge current

$$\nabla_\mu T^\mu_\nu = \mathcal{F}_{\nu\mu}\tilde{J}^\mu, \quad \nabla_\mu \tilde{J}^\mu = -\frac{C}{8} * (\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{F}), \tag{1.17}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the field strength of the gauge field $\mathcal{A}$ in (1.9). These equations constitute a closed dynamical system when supplemented with constitutive relations that express $T_{\mu\nu}$ and J_μ as a function of the fluid temperature, chemical potential and velocity. These constitutive relations are presented in an expansion in derivatives and take the form

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon + P)u^\mu u^\nu + P g^{\mu\nu} + \pi^{\mu\nu}, \quad J^\mu = q u^\mu + J^\mu_{diss}, \tag{1.18}$$

The pressure P, proper energy density ϵ and proper charge density q are those functions of T and μ predicted by flat space equilibrium thermodynamics. $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ refers to the sum of all corrections to the stress tensor that are of first or higher order in the derivative expansion (the derivatives in question could act either on the T, μ, u^μ, or the background metric and gauge field $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\mathcal{A}_\mu$). Similarly J^μ_{diss} refers to corrections to the perfect fluid charge current that depend on atleast one spacetime derivative. Field redefinitions of the T μ and u^μ may be used to impose $p+2$ constraints on $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ and J^μ_{diss}; throughout this paper we will work in the so called Landau Frame in which

$$u^\mu \pi_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad u^\mu J^{diss}_\mu = 0 \tag{1.19}$$

Terms in $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ and J^μ_{diss} are both graded according to the number of spacetime derivatives they contain, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{\mu\nu} &= \pi^{\mu\nu}_{(1)} + \pi^{\mu\nu}_{(2)} + \pi^{\mu\nu}_{(3)} + \ldots \\ J^\mu_{diss} &= J^\mu_{diss,(1)} + J^\mu_{diss,(2)} + J^\mu_{diss,(3)} + \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{1.20}$$

---

[6] For example, the two derivative scalar $\nabla_\mu h(\sigma)\nabla^\mu\sigma$ is a total derivative for arbitrary $h(\sigma)$.

where the subscript counts the number of derivatives.

Symmetry considerations immediately constrain the possible expansions for $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ and J^{μ}_{diss} as follows. At any given point in spacetime, the fluid velocity u^{μ} is a particular timelike vector. The value of the velocity breaks the local $SO(p,1)$ Lorentz symmetry of the theory down to the rotational subgroup $SO(p)$. In the Landau frame (1.17) $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ may be decomposed into an $SO(p)$ tensor and $SO(p)$ scalar. J^{μ}_{diss} is an $SO(p)$ vector.

In order to parameterize freedom in the equations of hydrodynamics, it is useful to define some terminology. Let t^n_f, v^n_f and s^n_f respectively denote the number of onshell inequivalent tensor, vector and scalar expressions that can be formed out expressions made up of a total of n derivatives acting on T, u^{μ}, μ, $g_{\mu\nu}$ and A_{μ}. It follows immediately that the most general symmetry allowed expression for $\pi^{\mu\nu}_{(n)}$ is given in terms of $t^n_f + s^n_f$ unknown functions of the two variables T and μ. In a similar manner the most general expression for the $J^{\mu}_{diss(n)}$, permitted by symmetries, is given in terms of v^n_f unknown functions of the same two variables.

It turns out that the $(t^n_f + s^n_f + v^n_f)$ n^{th} order transport coefficients are not all independent. The requirement that the hydrodynamical equations are consistent with the existence of an entropy current that is of positive divergence in every conceivable fluid flow imposes several relationships between these coefficients cutting down the number of parameters in these equations; we refer the reader to [1, 3, 5, 10], for example, for a fuller discussion. We now turn to a description of a simpler physical principal that appears predict the same relations between these coefficients.These relations may all be constructively determined by comparison of the equations of hydrodynamics with a partition function.

1.2.2 Constraints from stationary equilibrium

As we have explained in the previous subsubsection, it follows from symmetry considerations that the equations of charged hydrodynamics, at n^{th} order in the derivative expansion, are parameterized by $t^n_f + v^n_f + s^n_f$ unknown functions of two variables (or $t^n_f + s^n_f$ functions of one variable for uncharged hydrodynamics). We will now argue that these functions are not all independent, but instead are determined in terms of a smaller number of functions.

It is easy to verify that the equations of perfect fluid hydrodynamics (hydrodynamics at lowest order in the derivative expansion) admit a stationary 'equilibrium' solution in the backgrounds (1.1) and (1.9) given by

$$u^{\mu}_{(0)}(\vec{x}) = e^{-\sigma}(1, 0, \ldots, 0), \qquad T_{(0)}(\vec{x}) = T_o e^{-\sigma}, \qquad \mu_{(0)}(\vec{x}) = e^{-\sigma} A_0 \tag{1.21}$$

As explained above, this is also the equilibrium solution one expects of the fluid on intuitive ground. At higher order in the derivative expansion this solution is corrected;

the corrected solution may be expanded in derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} u^\mu &= u^\mu_{(0)} + u^\mu_{(1)} + u^\mu_{(2)} + \dots \\ T &= T_{(0)} + T_{(1)} + T_{(2)} + \dots \\ \mu &= \mu_{(0)} + \mu_{(1)} + \mu_{(2)} + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{1.22}$$

where $u^\mu_{(n)}$, $T_{(n)}$ and $\mu_{(n)}$ are expressions of n^{th} order in derivatives acting on σ, A_0, a_i, A_i and g_{ij}. What can we say about the form of the corrections $u^\mu_{(n)}$, $T_{(n)}$ and $\mu_{(n)}$? Adopting the notation defined in the last paragraph of the previous subsection, symmetries determine the expression for $u^\mu_{(n)}$ in terms of v^n_e as yet unknown functions of σ and A_0, while T and μ are each determined in terms of s^n_e as yet unknown equations of A_0 and σ.

The stress tensor and charge current in equilibrium are given by plugging (1.22) into (1.20). The result is an expression for $\pi^{\mu\nu}$ and J^μ_{diss} written entirely in terms of σ, A_0, a_i, A_i, g_{ij} and their derivatives.

This expressions for the stress tensor and charge current so obtained depend only on a subset of the transport coefficients that appear in the expansion of $\pi^{\mu\nu}$ and J^μ_{diss}. For instance, the expansion of the n^{th} order tensor part of $\pi^{\mu\nu}$ has t^n_f terms in general. When evaluated on (1.21), however, this expression reduces to a sum over $t^n_e \leq t^n_f$ terms. The coefficients of these terms define t^n_e subspace of the t^n_f dimensional set of n^{th} order transport coefficients. We refer to this subspace as the subspace of *non dissipative* transport coefficients.

In this paper we demand that the expressions for the equilibrium stress tensor and charge current, obtained as described in the previous paragraph, agree with the corresponding expressions obtained by differentiating the equilibrium partition function of subsection 1.1 with respect to the background gauge field and metric. This requirement yields a set of $t^n_e + 2v^n_e + 3s^n_e$ equations[7] that completely determine both the n^{th} order corrections to the equilibrium solutions T_n μ_n and u^μ_n ($v^n_e + 2s^n_e$ coefficients in all) as well as the $t^n_e + v^n_e + s^n_e$ non dissipative hydrodynamical transport coefficients. Note that the number of variables precisely equals the number of equations. Dissipative hydrodynamical transport coefficients are completely unconstrained by this procedure.

We emphasize that the shifted equilibrium velocities, temperatures and chemical potentials obtained from the procedure just described automatically obey the equations of hydrodynamics. By construction, the shifted fluid variables, together with the constitutive relations determined above yield the stress tensor that follows from the functional variation of an equilibrium partition function, and the stress tensor obtained from the variation of *any* diffeomorphically invariant functional is automatically conserved. Very similar remarks apply to the charge current.

---

[7] The counting goes as follows. The stress tensor decomposes into one $SO(p)$, tensor, one vector and two scalars. The charge current decomposes into a vector and a scalar. Equating the hydrodynamical equilibrium stress tensor and charge current to the expressions obtained by varying the equilibrium yields $3s^n_e + 2v^n_e + t^n_e$ equations.

Let us summarize. In general $\pi^{\mu\nu}$ and J^μ_{diss} are expanded in terms of $t_f^n + s_f^n$ and v_f^n transport coefficients, each of which is a function of temperature and chemical potential. However $t_f^n - t_e^n + s_f^n - s_e^n$ of these coefficients in $\pi^{\mu\nu}$ and $v_f^n - v_e^n$ of these coefficients in J^μ_{diss} evaluate to zero on the 'equilibrium' configuration (1.21). The remaining $t_e^n + v_e^n + s_e^n$ non dissipative transport coefficients multiply expressions that do not vanish on (1.21). Comparison with the equilibrium partition function algebraically determines all non dissipative transport coefficients in terms of the $s_e^n - st_e^n$ functions (and derivatives thereof) that appear as coefficients in the derivative expansion of the partition function. In other words the $t_e^n + v_e^n + s_e^n$ non dissipative transport coefficients are not all independent; there exist $t_e^n + v_e^n + st_e^n$ relations between these coefficients.

The procedure described above may also be used to derive constraints on the form of the fluid entropy current. The entropy current must obey two constraints. First its divergence must vanish on all the equilibrium configurations derived above. Second, the integral over the entropy density (obtained from the entropy current) must equal the thermodynamical entropy that follows from the partition function (1.10). These requirements impose constraints on the form of the (non dissipative) part of the most general symmetry allowed hydrodynamical entropy current.

We have implemented the procedure described above in detail in three separate examples which we describe in more detail immediately below. In each case we have obtained detailed expressions for all non dissipative hydrodynamical coefficients in terms of the parameters that appear in the action. In each case, the relations obtained between non dissipative transport coefficients, after eliminating the action parameters, agree exactly with the relations obtained between the same quantities by previous investigations based on the study study of the second law of thermodynamics.

In the case of parity violating first order fluid dynamics in $3+1$ dimensions, the results for transport coefficients computed from (1.11) match perfectly[8] with those of Son and Surowka [3] (generalized in [21],[4]) once we impose the additional requirement of CPT invariance. [9]

---

[8] See [19, 20] for an alternate (using quantum kinetic theory) derivation of hydrodynamic coefficients related to the chiral anomaly without making any reference to an entropy current.

[9] Before imposing the requirement of CPT invariance, we have an additional one parameter freedom that is not captured by the the generalized Son-Surowka analysis. The reason for this is that Son and Surowka (and subsequent authors) assumed that the entropy current was necessarily gauge invariant. This does not seem to us to be physically necessary. It seems to us that an entropy current whose divergence is gauge invariant - and whose integral over a compact manifold in equilibrium is gauge invariant - is perfectly acceptable. As we explain below, it is easy to find a one parameter generalization

In the case of parity preserving fluid dynamics in 3+1 dimensions, the results obtained from the partition function (1.6) agree perfectly with those of Bhattacharyya [10]. Finally, in the case of parity non preserving charged fluid dynamics in 2+1 dimensions, the results from section 4 agree perfectly with those of [18].

In ending this introduction let us note the following. As we have described at the beginning of the introduction, the physical principles that yield constraints on the transport relations of fluid dynamics are twofold. First, that these equations are consistent with the existence of a stationary solution in every background of the form (1.1), (1.9). Second, that the stress tensor and charge current evaluated on this equilibrium configuration obeys the integrability constraints that follow if these expressions can be obtained by differentiating a partition function. In the presentation described above we have mixed these two conditions together (as the partition function is the starting point of our discussion). However it is also possible to separate these two conditions. For each of the three examples discussed above, in Appendix A, B and C we present a detailed study of the constraints on the equations of fluid dynamics obtained merely from the existence of stationary solutions in arbitrary backgrounds of the form (1.1), (1.9). In each case we find that all of the relations between transport coefficients, derived in this paper, are implied already by this weaker condition. In these three examples, once equilibrium exists, the requirement that it follows from a partition function turns out to be automatic. We do not expect this always to be the case. In more complicated cases we expect the existence of a partition function to imply further constraints than those implied merely by the existence of equilibrium. However we leave the study of such effects to future work[10].

2. Preparatory Material

In this section we present background material that we will need in the main part of the paper. In subsection 2.1 we present some Kaluza Klein reduction formulae for metrics of the form (1.1). In subsection 2.2 we describe the transformation properties of various quantities of interest under Kaluza Klein gauge transformations. In subsection 2.3 we discuss how the stress tensor and charge current of our system is related to the

---

of the Son-Surowka solution that meets these conditions, and that gives rise to the additional term C_0 in the partition function (1.11). However it turns out that the requirement of CPT invariance sets C_0 (along with C_1) to zero in (1.11), so this possible ambiguity is never realized in the hydrodynamical description of a quantum field theory.

[10] After this paper was completed we were informed of two upcoming works
(1) “Towards hydrodynamics without an entropy current” of K. Jensen, M. Kaminski, P. Kovtun, A. Ritz, R. Meyer and A. Yarom
(2) “Triangle Anomalies, Thermodynamics, and Hydrodynamics” of K. Jensen
which have some overlap with our current work.

partition function. We also discuss the thermodynamical energy, entropy and entropy of our system, and compare these quantities to those obtained from integrals over local currents. In subsection 2.4 we discuss the relation between consistent currents (those obtained from the variation of an action) and gauge invariant currents in systems with a $U(1)$ anomaly. In 2.5 we describe how the equations of perfect fluid hydrodynamics may be 'derived' starting from a zero derivative equilibrium partition function.

2.1 Kaluza Klein Reduction Formulae

As explained in the introduction, in this paper we study theories on metric and gauge fields in the Kaluza Klein form

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -e^{2\sigma(\vec{x})}\left(dt + a_i(\vec{x})dx^i\right)^2 + g_{ij}(\vec{x})dx^i dx^j \\ \mathcal{A}^\mu &= (A^0(\vec{x}), \mathcal{A}^i(\vec{x})) \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

The inverse of this metric is given by

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} (-e^{-2\sigma} + a^2) & -a^i \\ -a^i & g^{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$

where the first row and column refer to time and g^{ij} is the inverse of g_{ij}. Christoffel symbols, $\tilde{\Gamma}$, of the $p+1$ dimensional metric are given in terms of those of the p dimensional Christoffel symbols Γ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma}^0_{00} &= -e^{2\sigma}(a.\partial)\sigma \\ \tilde{\Gamma}^i_{00} &= e^{2\sigma}g^{im}\partial_m\sigma \\ \tilde{\Gamma}^0_{i0} &= \partial_i\sigma - e^{2\sigma}(a.\partial)\sigma a_i + \frac{e^{2\sigma}f_{im}a^m}{2} \\ \tilde{\Gamma}^i_{j0} &= e^{2\sigma}g^{ik}(-\frac{1}{2}f_{jk} + \partial_k\sigma a_j) \\ \tilde{\Gamma}^0_{ij} &= -a_n\Gamma^n_{ij} + \frac{e^{2\sigma}}{2}\left[a_j a^m\partial_i a_m + a_i a^m\partial_j a_m\right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}a.\partial(e^{2\sigma}a_i a_j) + \frac{e^{-2\sigma}}{2}\left[\partial_i(e^{2\sigma}a_j) + \partial_j(e^{2\sigma}a_i)\right] \\ \tilde{\Gamma}^k_{ij} &= \Gamma^k_{ij} - \frac{e^{2\sigma}}{2}g^{km}\left[a_j\partial_i a_m + a_i\partial_j a_m\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}g^{km}\partial_m(e^{2\sigma}a_i a_j) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Curvature symbols of the $p+1$ dimensional metric (e.g. the Ricci scalar $\tilde{R}$) are given in terms of p dimensional curvature data (e.g. the p dimensional Ricci Scalar R) by[11]

---

[11] The definitions we adopt in this paper are

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu\rho}{}^{\sigma} &= \partial_\nu\Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\mu\Gamma^\sigma_{\nu\rho} + \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\rho}\Gamma^\sigma_{\alpha\nu} - \Gamma^\alpha_{\nu\rho}\Gamma^\sigma_{\alpha\mu}, \\ R_{\mu\nu} &= R_{\mu\sigma\nu}{}^{\sigma}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R} &= R + \frac{1}{4} e^{2\sigma} f^2 - 2(\nabla\sigma)^2 - 2\nabla^2\sigma \\
\tilde{R}^{ij} &= R^{ij} - \nabla^i\sigma\nabla^j\sigma - \nabla^i\nabla^j\sigma + \frac{1}{2} e^{2\sigma} f^{im} f^j{}_m \\
K^{ij} &\equiv \tilde{R}_0{}^i{}_0{}^j (u^0)^2 = \nabla^i\sigma\nabla^j\sigma + \nabla^i\nabla^j\sigma + \frac{1}{4} e^{2\sigma} f^{im} f^j{}_m,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $f_{ij} = \partial_i a_j - \partial_j a_i$

Let us define u^μ_K to be the unit normalized vector in the Killing direction. In components

$$u^\mu_K = e^{-\sigma}(1, 0, \ldots, 0) \tag{2.5}$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}{}^{\mu\nu}$ denote the projector orthogonal to u^μ_K

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}{}^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} + u^\mu_K u^\nu_K \tag{2.6}$$

Explicitly in matrix form

$$(\mathcal{P}_K)_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us also define the shear tensor, vorticity and expansion and acceleration of this Killing 'velocity' field by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta_K = \nabla . u_K = \text{Expansion}, \quad \mathfrak{a}^\mu_K = (u_K.\nabla) u^\mu_K = \text{Acceleration} \\
&\sigma^{\mu\nu}_K = P^{\mu\alpha} P^{\nu\beta} \left(\frac{\nabla_\alpha (u_K)_\beta + \nabla_\beta (u_K)_\alpha}{2} - \frac{\Theta_K}{3} g_{\alpha_\beta} \right) = \text{Shear tensor} \\
&\omega^{\mu\nu}_K = P^{\mu\alpha} P^{\nu\beta} \left(\frac{\nabla_\alpha (u_K)_\beta - \nabla_\beta (u_K)_\alpha}{2} \right) = \text{Vorticity}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

A straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta_K = 0, \quad (\mathfrak{a}_K)_\mu = (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}})_{\mu i} \nabla^i \sigma \\
&\sigma^{\mu\nu}_K = 0 \\
&(\omega_K)_{\mu\nu} = \frac{e^\sigma}{2} (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}})_{\mu i} (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}})_{\nu j} f^{ij}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

2.2 Kaluza Klein gauge transformations

The form of the metric and gauge fields in (2.1) is preserved by p dimensional spatial diffeomorphisms together with redefinitions of time of the form

$$t' = t + \phi(\vec{x}), \quad x' = x. \tag{2.9}$$

We always use the mostly positive signature.

Under coordinate changes of the form (2.9) the Kaluza Klein gauge field a_i transforms like a connection:

$$a_i' = a_i - \partial_i \phi.$$

Let us now examine the transformation of $p+1$ dimensional tensors under the coordinate transformations (2.9). It is not difficult to verify that *upper spatial* indices and *lower temporal* indices are gauge invariant. So, for instance, if $A_{\mu\nu}$ is any $p+1$ dimensional two tensor, the p dimensional scalar A_{00}, the p dimensional vector A_0^i and the p dimensional tensor A^{ij} are all Kaluza Klein gauge invariant. On the other hand lower spatial indices and upper temporal indices transform under the Kaluza Klein gauge transformation (2.9) according to

$$V_i' = V_i - \partial_i \phi V_0, \quad (V')^0 = V^0 + \partial_i \phi V^i. \tag{2.10}$$

Note that the p dimensional oneforms

$$g_{ij} V^j = V_i - a_i V_0$$

are gauge invariant. In the sequel we will make heave use of the p dimensional oneforms

$$A_i = \mathcal{A}_i - a_i A_0 \tag{2.11}$$

This oneform is Kaluza Klein gauge invariant and transform as connections under $U(1)$ gauge transformations. This is the reason that the partition function (1.11) was written in terms of A_i rather than $\mathcal{A}_i$.

2.3 Stress Tensor and $U(1)$ current

The $p+1$ dimensional tensors that will be of most interest to us in this paper are the stress tensor, the charge current and the entropy current. The stress tensor and charge current are defined in terms of variation of the action with respect to the higher dimensional metric and gauge field according to the formulas

$$\delta S = \int dx^{p+1} \sqrt{-g_{p+1}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} T_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} + J^\mu \delta \mathcal{A}^\mu \right) \tag{2.12}$$

As we have described in the introduction, in this paper we will be interested in the partition function $\ln Z$ of our system on the background (2.1). This partition function may be thought of as the Euclidean action of our system on the metric (1.1) with coordinate time t compactified on a circle of length $\frac{1}{T_0}$. The change of $\ln Z$ under time independent variations of the metric and gauge field is thus given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \ln Z &= \int dx^{p+1} \sqrt{-g_{p+1}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} T_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} + J^\mu \delta \mathcal{A}_\mu \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{T_0} \int dx^{p} \sqrt{-g_{p+1}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} T_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} + J^\mu \delta \mathcal{A}_\mu \right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\mu\nu} &= -2T_0 \frac{\delta \ln Z}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \\
J^{\mu} &= T_0 \frac{\delta \ln Z}{\delta \mathcal{A}_{\mu}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.14}
$$

The formulae (2.14) are not written in the most useful form for the purposes of this paper. As we have described in the introduction, we find it useful to regard our partition function as a functional of

$$
\ln Z = W(e^{\sigma}, A_0, a_i, A_i, g^{ij}, T_0, \mu_0). \tag{2.15}
$$

By application of the chain rule to the formulas (2.13) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{00} &= -\frac{T_0 e^{2\sigma}}{\sqrt{-g_{(p+1)}}} \frac{\delta W}{\delta \sigma}, \quad T_0^i = \frac{T_0}{\sqrt{-g_{(p+1)}}} \left(\frac{\delta W}{\delta a_i} - A_0 \frac{\delta W}{\delta A_i} \right), \\
T^{ij} &= -\frac{2T_0}{\sqrt{-g_{(p+1)}}} g^{il} g^{jm} \frac{\delta W}{\delta g^{lm}}, \quad J_0 = -\frac{e^{2\sigma} T_0}{\sqrt{-g_{(p+1)}}} \frac{\delta W}{\delta A_0}, \quad J^i = \frac{T_0}{\sqrt{-g_{(p+1)}}} \frac{\delta W}{\delta A_i}
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.16}
$$

where, for instance, the derivative w.r.t A_0 is taken at constant σ, a_i, A_i, g^{ij}, T_0 and μ_0.

2.3.1 Dependence of the partition function on T_0 and μ_0

From the viewpoint of a Euclidean path integral, the parameter T_0 in the partition function (1.10) is the coordinate length of the time circle. Moreover, every quantum field of charge q is twisted by the phase $q\frac{\mu_0}{T_0}$ as it winds the temporal circle in Euclidean space. As usual, such a twist is gauge equivalent to a shift in the ' temporal gauge field $\mathcal{A}_0 \to \mathcal{A}_0 + \mu_0 = A_0$ [12] holding $\mathcal{A}_i$ fixed. It follows that $\ln Z$ is a function of $\mathcal{A}_0$, $\mathcal{A}_i$ and μ_0 only in the combination A_0 and A_i . The dependence of $\ln Z$ on T_0 may be deduced in a similar fashion. The Euclidean time coordinate $t' = tT_0$ has unit periodicity. When rewritten in terms of t', the metric and gauge field retain the form (2.1) with

$$
e^{\sigma'} = \frac{e^{\sigma}}{T_0}, \quad a_i' = a_i T_0, \quad A_0' = \frac{A_0}{T_0}
$$

It follows from all these considerations that

$$
W(e^{\sigma}, \mathcal{A}_0, a_i, \mathcal{A}_i, g^{ij}, T_0, \mu_0) = \mathcal{W}(\frac{e^{\sigma}}{T_0}, \frac{A_0}{T_0}, T_0 a_i, A_i, g^{ij}). \tag{2.17}
$$

We will never use the function $\mathcal{W}$ below; all our explicit formulae will be written in terms of the function W. Nonetheless (2.17) will allow us to relate thermodynamical derivatives w.r.t. T_0 and μ_0 to functional derivatives of the partition function w.r.t. background fields.

---

[12] In this formula $\mathcal{A}_0$ is refers to the gauge field in Lorentzian space. Note that μ_0 is gauge equivalent to an imaginary shift of $\mathcal{A}_0$ in Euclidean space.

2.3.2 Conserved charges and entropy

In this subsubsection we will compute the $U(1)$ charge and energy of our system from integrals over the appropriate charge currents, and compare the expressions so obtained with thermodynamical formulas.

The $U(1)$ charge of our system in equilibrium is given by

$$Q = \int d^p x \sqrt{-g_{p+1}} J^0 \tag{2.18}$$

where the integral is taken over the p dimensional spatial manifold. Let us now define the (conserved) energy of our system. Whenever the divergence of the stress tensor vanishes, the current $-v^\lambda T^\mu_\lambda$ is conserved provided v^λ is a killing vector field. We cannot directly apply this result to the killing vector field $v^\lambda = (1, \ldots, 0)$, as the stress tensor is our paper is not divergence free in general (see (1.17)). However it is easily verified that the shifted current

$$J^\mu_E = -T^\mu_0 - \mathcal{A}_0 J^\mu \tag{2.19}$$

is conserved in equilibrium. As a consequence we define

$$E = \int d^p x \sqrt{-g_{p+1}} J^0_E = \int d^p x \sqrt{-g_{p+1}} \left(-T^0_0 - \mathcal{A}_0 J^0\right) \tag{2.20}$$

Q and E defined in (2.18) and (2.20) may be shown to be Kaluza Klein gauge invariant. For instance, the Kaluza Klein gauge variation of the RHS of (2.18) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d^p x \sqrt{-g_{p+1}} J^i \partial_i \phi \\ &= \int d^p x \sqrt{-g_{p+1}} J^\mu \partial_\mu \phi \\ &= -\int d^p x \sqrt{-g_{p+1}}\; \phi \nabla_\mu J^\mu = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

(where we have used the fact that the gauge parameter ϕ is independent of t, integrated by parts, and used the fact that J^μ is a conserved current). The gauge invariance of E follows from an almost identical argument.

We will now demonstrate that the expressions (2.18) and (2.20) agree exactly with the thermodynamical definitions of the charge and energy that follow from the partition function. In great generality, the charge of any thermodynamical system may be obtained from its partition function (1.10) via the thermodynamical formula

$$Q = T_0 \frac{\partial W}{\partial \mu_0}$$

where the partial derivative is taken at constant $T_0, \mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_i, g^{ij}, a_i, \sigma$. In the current context

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 \frac{\partial W}{\partial \mu_0} &= T_0 \int d^p x \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{W}}{\delta A_0(x)} - a_i \frac{\delta \mathcal{W}}{\delta A_i(x)} \right) \\ &= \int d^p x \sqrt{-g_{p+1}} J^0 = Q \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

where we have used (2.17), $J^0 = -e^{-2\sigma} J_0 - a_i J^i$ (this follows from the fact that $J_0 = g_{00} J^0 + g_{0i} J^i$) and explicit expressions for J_0 and J^i listed in (2.16). Let us note that, in the presence of anomaly 1.17 current J^μ is neither gauge invariant nor conserved[13].

The thermodynamical energy

$$T_0^2 \frac{\partial W}{\partial T_0} + \mu_0 Q$$

(where the partial derivative is taken at constant $\mu_0, \mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_i, g^{ij}, a_i, \sigma$). may be processed, in the current context, as

$$\begin{aligned} &T_0^2 \frac{\partial W}{\partial T_0} + \mu_0 Q = \\ &= T_0 \int \left[-\frac{\delta \mathcal{W}}{\delta \sigma} + a_i \frac{\delta \mathcal{W}}{\delta a_i} - \frac{\delta \mathcal{W}}{\delta A_0} A_0 + \frac{\delta \mathcal{W}}{\delta A_0} \mu_0 - \mu_0 a_i \frac{\delta \mathcal{W}}{\delta A_i} \right] \\ &= \int \sqrt{-g_{p+1}} \left[\left(e^{-2\sigma} T_{00} + a_i T_0^i \right) - \mathcal{A}_0 J^0 \right] \\ &= \int \sqrt{-g_{p+1}} \left[-T_0^0 - \mathcal{A}_0 J^0 \right] \\ &= E \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

where we have used (2.17), the fact that $-T_0^0 = e^{-2\sigma} T_{00} + a_i T_0^i$ and the explicit expressions for T_{00} and T_0^i in (2.16)). In summary

$$\begin{aligned} E &= T_0^2 \frac{\partial W}{\partial T_0} + \mu_0 Q \\ Q &= T_0 \frac{\partial W}{\partial \mu_0} \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Even in the presence of anomaly one can show that the current J_E^μ in 2.19 remains conserved, where J^μ is defined as in 2.14. Thus, the thermodynamic formula 2.23 holds for anomalous system as well.

---

[13] One can construct a conserved current which is given by

$$\hat{J}^\mu = J^\mu + \frac{C}{12} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \mathcal{A}_\nu F_{\rho\sigma}.$$

We conclude that the conserved charge and energy in our system are given, in terms of the partition function, by the usual thermodynamical formulae. It follows that the entropy of our system should also be given by the standard statistical formula

$$S = \frac{\partial(T_0 W)}{\partial T_0} \tag{2.25}$$

Later in this paper we obtain constraints on the entropy current of our system by equating (2.25) with $\int d^p x \sqrt{-g_{p+1}} J_S^0$.

2.4 Consistent and Covariant Anomalies

[14] In this section we discuss the relationship between the consistent charge current (the current obtained by differentiating the partition function w.r.t. the background gauge field) and the gauge invariant charge current in arbitrary $3+1$ dimensional $U(1)$ gauge theories with a $U(1)^3$ anomaly. Readers who are familiar with the issue of consistent and covariant anomalies in quantum field theories can skip this section. The equations which will be used later are (2.31),(2.26),(2.37).

In this paper we will have occasion to study field theories in 4 spacetime dimensions whose $U(1)$ current obeys the anomalous conservation

$$\nabla_\mu J^\mu = -\frac{C}{24} * (\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F}) \tag{2.26}$$

J^μ in (2.26) is the so called 'consistent' current defined by $J^\mu = \frac{\delta W}{\delta \mathcal{A}_\mu}$. As all gauge fields in this paper are always time independent

$$*(\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F}) = -8 e^{-\sigma} \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_i A_0 \partial_j \mathcal{A}_k \tag{2.27}$$

(here $\epsilon^{123} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_3}}$) so that the anomaly equation may be rewritten as

$$\nabla_\mu J^\mu = \frac{C}{3} e^{-\sigma} \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_i A_0 \partial_j \mathcal{A}_k \tag{2.28}$$

[15] It follows that the variation of the action under a gauge transformation is given by

$$\delta S = \int \sqrt{-g_4} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \mathcal{A}_\mu} \partial_\mu \phi = \frac{C}{24} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_4} \phi * (\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F}) = -\frac{C}{3} \int d^4x \sqrt{g_3} \phi \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_i A_0 \partial_j \mathcal{A}_k \tag{2.29}$$

We now follow the discussion of Bardeen and Zumino [22] to determine the gauge transformation property of J^μ. The principle that determines this transformation law is simply that the result of first performing an arbitrary variation of the gauge field $\mathcal{A}_\mu \to \delta\mathcal{A}_\mu$ and then a gauge transformation generated by $\delta\phi$ must be the same as that obtained upon reversing the order of these operations. The variation of the action under the first order of operations, to quadratic order in variations, is given by

---

[14] We would like to thank S. Trivedi and S. Wadia for discussions and on this topic and S. Wadia for referring us to [22].

[15] In order to forestall all possible confusion we list our conventions. $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \mathcal{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\mu$, $*(\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F}) = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}$ where $\epsilon^{0123} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_4}}$. The variation of the gauge field $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ under a gauge transformation is given by $\delta \mathcal{A}_\mu = \partial_\mu \phi$.

$$\int \sqrt{-g_4}\delta J^\mu(\delta\mathcal{A}_\mu)$$

(where δJ^μ denotes the variation of the consistent current J^μ under the gauge transformation $\delta\phi$). The reverse order gives

$$\frac{C}{24}\int \delta\phi\frac{\delta(\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{F})}{\delta\mathcal{A}_\mu}\delta\mathcal{A}_\mu = \frac{C}{24}\int \delta\phi\frac{\delta(\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{F})}{\delta\mathcal{A}_\mu}\delta\mathcal{A}_\mu = \frac{C}{6}\int \sqrt{-g_4}\delta\mathcal{A}_\alpha\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\partial_\beta\phi\mathcal{F}_{\gamma\delta}$$

Comparing the two expressions it follows that under a gauge transformation

$$\delta J^\alpha = \frac{C}{6}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\partial_\beta\phi\mathcal{F}_{\gamma\delta} \tag{2.30}$$

It follows that the shifted current

$$\tilde{J}^\mu = J^\mu - \frac{C}{6}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\gamma\delta}\mathcal{A}_\nu\mathcal{F}_{\gamma\delta} \tag{2.31}$$

is gauge invariant. $\tilde{J}^\mu$ is the current that is most familiar to most field theorists; for instance it is the current whose divergence is computed by the usual triangle diagram in standard text books. It follows from (2.31) that the divergence of $\tilde{J}^\mu$ is given by

$$\nabla_\mu\tilde{J}^\mu = -\frac{C}{8} * (\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{F}) \tag{2.32}$$

Using (2.27), the anomaly equations may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\mu J^\mu &= \frac{C}{3}e^{-\sigma}\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_i A_0\partial_j\mathcal{A}_k\\ \nabla_\mu\tilde{J}^\mu &= Ce^{-\sigma}\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_i A_0\partial_j\mathcal{A}_k\end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

Let us summarize. $\tilde{J}^\mu$ is the gauge invariant current that we will use in the fluid dynamical analysis in our paper. It obeys the anomalous conservation equation (2.32). On the other hand the non gauge invariant current J^μ is simply related to the action W (it is the functional derivative of W w.r.t. $\mathcal{A}_\mu$). These two currents are related by (2.31).

To end this subsection we will now derive the stress tensor conservation equation (1.17) in the presence of a potential anomalous background gauge field. We start by noting that the variation of W under an arbitrary variation of $g^{\mu\nu}$ and $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ is given by

$$\delta W = \int \sqrt{-g_4}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\delta g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu} + J^\mu\delta\mathcal{A}_\mu\right) \tag{2.34}$$

Let us now choose the variations of the metric and gauge fields to be of the form generated by an infinitesimal coordinate transformation, i.e.

$$\delta g^{\mu\nu} = \nabla^\mu \epsilon^\nu + \nabla^\nu \epsilon^\mu, \quad \delta \mathcal{A}_\mu = -\left(\nabla_\mu \epsilon^\nu \mathcal{A}_\nu + \epsilon^\nu \nabla_\nu \mathcal{A}_\mu\right)$$

General coordinate invariance (which we assume to be non anomalous) demands that $\delta W = 0$ in this special case. Plugging these variations into (2.34), setting the LHS to zero and integrating by parts yields

$$\int d^4x \sqrt{-g_4}\epsilon^\nu \Big(\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} - J^\mu \left(\nabla_\nu \mathcal{A}_\mu - \nabla_\mu \mathcal{A}_\nu\right) + \nabla_\mu J^\mu \mathcal{A}_\nu\Big) \tag{2.35}$$

Using (2.26) together with the identity

$$\mathcal{A}_\sigma \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta} = -4\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \mathcal{A}_\nu \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\mu} \tag{2.36}$$

we conclude that

$$\nabla_\mu T^\mu_\nu = \mathcal{F}_{\nu\mu}(J^\mu - \frac{C}{6}\epsilon^{\mu\sigma\alpha\beta}\mathcal{A}_\sigma \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}) = \mathcal{F}_{\nu\mu}\tilde{J}^\mu \tag{2.37}$$

Thus the two equation of motion of charged fluids are given by (2.26),(2.37).

2.5 Perfect fluid hydrodynamics from the zero derivative partition function

It is well known (and obvious on physical grounds) that the equations of perfect fluid dynamics are completely determined by the equation of state of the fluid (i.e, for instance, the pressure as a function of temperature and velocity).

In this section we will 'rederive' the fact that the equations of hydrodynamics, at zero derivative order, are determined in terms of a single function of two variables, by comparison with the equilibrium partition function on a general background of the form (1.1). The the results we obtain in this subsection are obvious on physical grounds. However this subsection illustrates the basic idea behind the work out in subsequent sections.

At zero order in the derivative expansion, the most general symmetry allowed constitutive relations of fluid dynamics take the form

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon + \mathcal{P})u^\mu u^\nu + \mathcal{P} g^{\mu\nu}, \quad J^\mu = q u^\mu, \tag{2.38}$$

At this stage ϵ, $\mathcal{P}$ and q are arbitrary functions of any two thermodynamical fluid variables. ϵ, $\mathcal{P}$ and q (which will, of course, eventually turn out to be the fluid energy density, pressure and charge density) are as yet independent and arbitrary functions of the temperature and velocity.

We will now show that ϵ, $\mathcal{P}$ and q cannot be independent functions, but are all determined in terms of a single 'master' function of two variables. In order to do that we note that the most general p dimensional gauge and diffeomorphism invariant partition function for our system on (1.1) must take the form

$$W = \ln Z = \int d^3x \sqrt{g_3}\, \frac{e^{\sigma}}{T_0} P\left(T_0 e^{-\sigma}, e^{-\sigma} A_0\right) \tag{2.39}$$

for some function of two variables P (it is convenient to regard P as a function of $e^{-\sigma}$ and $e^{-\sigma}A_0$ rather than simply σ and A_0 as we will see below). The stress tensor and charge current that follows from the partition function (2.39) are easily evaluated using (2.16). The results are most simply written once we introduce some notation. Let

$$a = e^{-\sigma} T_0, \quad b = e^{-\sigma} A_0$$

Let P_a denote the partial derivative of P w.r.t its first argument, and P_b the partial derivative of P w.r.t. its second argument. Below, unless otherwise specified, the functions P, P_a and P_b will always evaluated at (a, b), and we will notationally omit the dependence of these functions on their arguments. In terms of this notation

$$T^{ij} = P g^{ij}, \quad T_{00} = e^{2\sigma}\left(P - aP_a - bP_b\right), \qquad J^0 = e^{-\sigma} P_b \tag{2.40}$$

$$T^i_0 = 0, \quad J^i = 0, \tag{2.41}$$

Comparing the expression for J^i in (2.38) with the same quantity in (2.40) we conclude that

$$u^{\mu} = e^{-\sigma}(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$$

Comparing the other quantities it follows that

$$\mathcal{P} = P, \quad \epsilon = -P + aP_a + bP_b, \quad q = P_b \tag{2.42}$$

In the special case of flat space the variables a and b reduce to the temperature and chemical potential. It is clear on physical grounds that $\mathcal{P}$, ϵ and q are functions only of local values of thermodynamical variables. Consistency requires us to identify the local value of the temperature with a and the local chemical potential with b. The function P that appears in the partition is simply the pressure as a function of T and μ. Standard thermodynamical identities then allow us to identify ϵ with the energy density of the fluid and q with the the charge density of the fluid.

Let us summarize the net upshot of this analysis. Symmetries determine the form of the perfect fluid constitutive relations upto three undetermined functions ϵ, $\mathcal{P}$ and q, of the temperature and chemical potential. On the other hand the equilibrium partition function is given by a single unknown function, P, of two variables. Comparison of the partition function with the fluid hydrodynamics allow us to determine $\mathcal{P}$, ϵ and q in terms of P; as a bonus we also find expressions for the temperature and chemical potential in equilibrium on an arbitrary background of the form (1.1), (1.9).

As the results of this subsection are obvious, and very well known. However a similar procedure leads non obvious constraints for higher derivative corrections of the fluid constitutive relations, as we now explain.

3. $3+1$ dimensional Charged fluid dynamics at first order in the derivative expansion

In this section we will derive the constraints imposed on the equations of charged fluid dynamics, at first order in the derivative expansion, by comparison with the most general equilibrium partition function.

The final results of this section agree with the slight generalization of Son and Surowka [3] presented in [21],[4] as we now explain.

Recall that [3] argued that the hydrodynamic charge currents in field theories with a $U(1)^3$ anomaly must contain a term proportional to the vorticity and another term proportional to the background magnetic field. [3] used the principle of entropy increase to find a set of differential equations that constrain these coefficients, and determined one solution to these differential equations. It was later demonstrated that the most general solution to these differential equations is a two parameter generalization of the Son Surowka result [21],[4]. The further requirement of CPT invariance disallows one of these two additional coefficients.

As we describe in detail below, our method for determining the hydrodynamical expansion starts with the action (5.11), and then proceeds to determine the coefficients terms in the charge current proportional to vorticity and the magnetic field in a purely algebraic manner. Nowhere in this procedure do we solve a differential equation, so our procedure generates no integration constants. However the starting point of our procedure, the partition function (5.11) itself, depends on the three constants C_0, C_1 and C_2. As we demonstrate below, C_1 and C_2 map to the integration constants obtained from the differential equations of [3]. The third constant C_0 is new, and does not arise from the analysis of [3]. As we explain below, this coefficient corresponds to the freedom of adding a $U(1)$ gauge non invariant term to the entropy current, subject to the physical requirement that the contribution to entropy production from this term is gauge invariant. It turns out, however, that the requirement of CPT invariance forces C_0 to vanish. As a consequence this new term cannot arise in the hydrodynamical expansion of any system that obeys the CPT theorem.

3.1 Equilibrium from Hydrodynamics

In Table (1) we have listed all scalar, vector and tensor expressions that one can form out of fluid fields and background metric and gauge fields (not necessarily in

Type	Data	Evaluated at equilibrium $T = T_0 e^{-\sigma},\ \mu = e^{-\sigma} A_0,\ u^\mu = u^\mu_K$
Scalars	$\nabla.u$	0
Vectors	$E_\mu = F_{\mu\nu} u^\nu,$ $\mathcal{P}^{\mu\alpha}\partial_\alpha T,$ $(E^\mu - T\mathcal{P}^{\mu\alpha}\partial_\alpha \nu)$	$e^{-\sigma}\partial_i A_0$ -$T_0 e^{-\sigma}\partial^i \sigma$ 0
Pseudo-Vectors	$\epsilon_{\rho\lambda\alpha\beta} u^\lambda \nabla^\alpha u^\beta$ $B_\mu = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\rho\lambda\alpha\beta} u^\lambda F^{\alpha\beta}$	$\frac{e^\sigma}{2}\epsilon_{ijk} f^{jk}$ $B_i = \frac{1}{2} g_{ij}\epsilon^{jkl}(F_{kl} + A_0 f_{kl})$
Tensors	$\mathcal{P}_{\mu\alpha}\mathcal{P}_{\nu\beta}\big(\frac{\nabla^\alpha u^\beta + \nabla^\beta u^\alpha}{2} - \frac{\nabla.u}{3} g^{\alpha\beta}\big)$	0

Table 1: One derivative fluid data

Scalars	None
Vectors	$\partial^i A_0$, $\partial^i \sigma$
Pseudo-Vectors	$\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_j A_k$, $\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_j a_k$
Tensors	None

Table 2: One derivative background data

equilibrium) at first order in the derivative expansion. It follows from the listing of this table that the most general symmetry allowed one derivative expansion of the constitutive relations is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{\mu\nu} &= -\zeta\theta\mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu} - \eta\sigma_{\mu\nu} \\ J^\mu_{diss} &= \sigma\left(E_\mu - T\mathcal{P}^\alpha_\mu \partial_\alpha \nu\right) + \alpha_1 E^\mu + \alpha_2 \mathcal{P}^{\mu\alpha}\partial_\alpha T + \xi_\omega \omega^\mu + \xi_B B^\mu \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where the shear viscosity η, bulk viscosity ζ, conductivity σ and the remaining possible transport coefficients b_1, b_2, b_3 and b_4 are arbitrary functions of σ and A_0.

We are interested in the stationary equilibrium solutions of these equations. In general, every fluid variable can receive derivative corrections in terms of derivatives of the back ground data. The equilibrium temperature, chemical potential and velocity of our system to first order is given by,

$$\begin{gathered} T = T_{(0)} + \delta T = T_0 e^{-\sigma} + \delta T, \qquad \mu = \mu_{(0)} + \delta\mu = e^{-\sigma} A_0 + \delta\mu, \\ u^\mu = u^\mu_{(0)} + \delta u^\mu = e^{-\sigma}(1,0,0,0) + \delta u^\mu, \end{gathered}$$

δu^0 is determined in terms of δu^i (which we would specify in a moment) as follows. Since both u^μ and $u^\mu_{(0)}$ is normalized to (-1), we have

$$u_{(0)_\mu} \delta u^\mu = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \delta u^0 = -a_i \delta u^i. \tag{3.2}$$

Thus, the nontrivial part of velocity correction δu^μ is encoded in δu^i.

Solutions in equilibrium are determined entirely by the background fields σ, A_0, a_i, A_i and g^{ij}. In Table(2,1) we have listed all coordinate and gauge invariant one derivative scalars, vectors and tensors constructed out of this background data. As Table (2,1) lists no one derivative scalars, it follows immediately that the equilibrium temperature field $T(x) = e^{-\sigma}T_0$ and chemical potential field $\mu(x) = e^{-\sigma}A_0$ receive no corrections at first order in the derivative expansion. The velocity field in equilibrium can, however, be corrected. The most general correction to first order is proportional to the vectors and pseudo vectors listed in Table (2,1) and is given by

$$\delta u^i = -\frac{e^{-\sigma}b_1}{4}\epsilon^{ijk}f_{jk} + b_2 B^i_K + b_3\partial^i\sigma + b_4\partial^i A_0 \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_{jk} &= \partial_j a_k - \partial_k a_j \\ F_{jk} &= \partial_j A_k - \partial_k A_j \\ A_j &= \mathcal{A}_j - a_j A_0 \\ B^i_K &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}(F_{jk} + A_0 f_{jk}) \\ \epsilon^{123} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_3}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The fluid stress tensor evaluated on this equilibrium configuration evaluates to (2.40) corrected by an expression of first order in the derivative expansion. The one derivative corrections have two sources.

The first set of corrections arises from the corrections (3.1) evaluated on the zero order equilibrium fluid configuration (1.21). [16] Using Table(2), we then conclude that the change in the stress tensors and charge current due to the modified constitutive relations is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta T_{00} = \delta T^i_0 = \delta J_0 = \delta T^{ij} = 0 \\ &\delta \tilde{J}^i = \alpha_1 e^{-\sigma}\partial^i A_0 - \alpha_2 T_0 e^{-\sigma}\partial^i\sigma + \frac{1}{2}(\xi_B A_0 - \frac{1}{2}\xi_\omega e^{\sigma})\epsilon^{ijk}f_{jk} + \frac{1}{2}\xi_B\epsilon^{ijk}F_{jk} \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The second source of corrections arises from inserting the velocity correction (3.3) into the zero order (perfect fluid) constitutive relations. At the order at which we work these velocity corrections do not modify T_{00}, J_0 or T^{ij}. A short calculation shows that the modification of the stress tensor and charge corrections due to these corrections takes the form

---

[16] When $u^\mu \propto (1, 0 \ldots, 0)$ the Landau frame condition employed in this paper sets $\pi_{00} = \pi_{0i} = J^{diss}_0 = 0$. Consequently T_{00}, T_{0i} and J_0 receive no one derivative corrections of this sort.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta T_{00} &= \delta J_0 = \delta T^{ij} = 0 \\
\delta T_0^i &= -e^{\sigma}(\epsilon+P)\Big[\frac{1}{2}(b_2 A_0 - \frac{1}{2} b_1 e^{\sigma})\epsilon^{ijk} f_{jk} + \frac{1}{2} b_2 \epsilon^{ijk} F_{jk} - b_3 T_0 e^{-\sigma}\partial^i \sigma + b_4 \partial^i A_0\Big] \\
\delta \tilde{J}^i &= \Big[\frac{1}{2}\Big(q b_2 A_0 - \frac{1}{2} q b_1 e^{\sigma}\Big)\epsilon^{ijk} f_{jk} + \frac{1}{2} q b_2 \epsilon^{ijk} F_{jk} \\
&\quad - q b_3 T_0 e^{-\sigma}\partial^i \sigma + q b_4 \partial^i A_0\Big]
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.6}
$$

The net change in T_0^i and J^i is given by summing (3.6) and (3.5) and is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta T_0^i &= -e^{\sigma}(\epsilon+P)\Big[\frac{1}{2}(b_2 A_0 - \frac{1}{2} b_1 e^{\sigma})\epsilon^{ijk} f_{jk} + \frac{1}{2} b_2 \epsilon^{ijk} F_{jk} - b_3 T_0 e^{-\sigma}\partial^i \sigma + b_4 \partial^i A_0\Big] \\
\delta \tilde{J}^i &= \Big[\frac{1}{2}\Big((\xi_B + q b_2) A_0 - \frac{1}{2}(\xi_\omega + q b_1) e^{\sigma}\Big)\epsilon^{ijk} f_{jk} + \frac{1}{2}(\xi_B + q b_2)\epsilon^{ijk} F_{jk} \\
&\quad - (q b_3 + \alpha_2) T_0 e^{-\sigma}\partial^i \sigma + (q b_4 + \alpha_1)\partial^i A_0\Big].
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.7}
$$

3.2 Equilibrium from the Partition Function

We now turn to the study of the first correction to the perfect fluid equilibrium partition function (2.39) at first order in the derivative expansion. From the fact that Table (2,1) lists no gauge invariant scalars, one might be tempted to conclude that the equilibrium partition function can have no gauge invariant one derivative corrections. We have already explained in the introduction that this is not the case; the three (constant) parameter set of Chern Simons terms listed in the third line of (1.11) yield perfectly local and gauge invariant contributions to the partition function, even though they cannot be written as integrals of local gauge invariant expressions. In addition to these gauge invariant pieces we need a term in the action that results in its anomalous gauge transformation property (2.29). This requirement is precisely met by the term in the last line of (1.11). [17]

With the action (1.11) in hand it is straightforward to use (2.16) to obtain the

---

[17] In order to see this we first note that the last line of (1.11) may be rewritten as

$$
\frac{C}{3}\int d^3x \sqrt{g_3} A_0 \epsilon^{ijk} \mathcal{A}_i \partial_j \mathcal{A}_k
$$

The variation of this term under a gauge transformation is given by

$$
-\frac{C}{3}\int d^3x \sqrt{g_3} \epsilon^{ijk} \phi \partial_i A_0 \partial_j A_k \tag{3.8}
$$

in perfect agreement with (2.29).

stress tensor and current corresponding to this equilibrium solution. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{00} &= 0, \quad T^{ij} = 0, \\
T_0^i &= e^{-\sigma}\epsilon^{ijk}\Big[(-\frac{1}{2}CA_0^2 + 2C_0A_0 + C_2)\nabla_j A_k + (2C_1 - \frac{C}{6}A_0^3 - C_2A_0)\nabla_j a_k\Big] \\
J_0 &= -e^{\sigma}\epsilon^{ijk}\Big[\frac{C}{3}A_i\nabla_j A_k + \frac{C}{3}A_0A_i\nabla_j a_k\Big] \\
J^i &= e^{-\sigma}\epsilon^{ijk}\Big[2\left(\frac{C}{3}A_0 + C_0\right)\nabla_j A_k + \left(\frac{C}{6}A_0^2 + C_2\right)\nabla_j a_k + \frac{C}{3}A_k\nabla_j A_0\Big],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Using (2.31) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{J}_0 &= 0, \\
\tilde{J}^i &= e^{-\sigma}\epsilon^{ijk}\big[(CA_0 + 2C_0)\nabla_j A_k + (\frac{1}{2}CA_0^2 + C_2)\nabla_j a_k\big],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

3.3 Constraints on Hydrodynamics

Equating the coefficients of independent terms in the two expressions for T_0^i (3.7),(3.9) determines the one derivative corrections of the velocity field in equilibrium. We find.

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1 &= \frac{T^3}{\epsilon + P}\big(\frac{2}{3}\nu^3 C + 4\nu^2 C_0 - 4\nu C_2 + 4C_1\big), \\
b_2 &= \frac{T^2}{\epsilon + P}\big(\frac{1}{2}\nu^2 C + 2\nu C_0 - C_2\big), \\
b_3 &= b_4 = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where $\nu = \frac{\mu}{T} = \frac{A_0}{T_0}$.

Equating coefficients of independent terms in J^i in equations 3.7 and 3.10 and using (3.11) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_\omega &= C\nu^2T^2\big(1 - \frac{2q}{3(\epsilon + P)}\nu T\big) + T^2\big[(4\nu C_0 - 2C_2) - \frac{qT}{\epsilon + P}(4\nu^2 C_0 - 4\nu C_2 + 4C_1)\big], \\
\xi_B &= C\nu T\big(1 - \frac{q}{2(\epsilon + P)}\nu T\big) + T\big(2C_0 - \frac{qT}{\epsilon + P}(2\nu C_0 - C_2)\big), \\
\alpha_1 &= \alpha_2 = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Let us summarize. We have found that the hydrodynamical charge current and stress tensor are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^{\mu\nu} &= -\zeta\theta\mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu} - \eta\sigma_{\mu\nu} \\
J^\mu_{diss} &= \sigma\left(E_\mu - T\mathcal{P}^\alpha_\mu\partial_\alpha\nu\right) + \xi_\omega\omega^\mu + \xi_B B^\mu
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

In (3.13) the viscosities ζ and η together with the conductivity σ are all dissipative parameters. These parameters multiply expressions that vanish in equilibrium and are completely unconstrained by the analysis of this subsection. On the other hand ζ_ω and ζ_B - together with α_1 and α_2 in (3.1) - are non dissipative parameters. They multiply expressions that do not vanish in equilibrium. The analysis of this subsection has demonstrated that α_1 and α_2 vanish and that ζ_ω and ζ_B are given by (3.13). The expressions (3.13) agree exactly with the results of Son and Surowka - based on the requirement of positivity of the entropy current - upon setting $C_0 = C_1 = C_2 = 0$. Upon setting $C_0 = 0$ they agree with the generalized results of [21] (see also [4],[8]). We will return to the role of the additional parameter C_0 later in this section.

3.4 The Entropy Current

The entropy of our system is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}(T_0 \log Z) \\ &= \int d^3x\sqrt{g_3}\epsilon^{ijk}\left[C_0 A_i \nabla_j A_k + 3C_1 T_0^2 a_i \nabla_j a_k + 2C_2 T_0 A_i \nabla_j a_k\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

In this subsection we determine the constraints on the entropy current J_S^μ of our system from the requirement that (3.14) agree with the local integral

$$S = \int d^3x\sqrt{-g_4}J_S^0 \tag{3.15}$$

Notice that the first term in (3.14) (the term proportional to C_0) cannot be written as the integral of a $U(1)$ gauge invariant entropy density. It follows immediately that (3.15) and (3.14) cannot agree unless J_S^μ has a non gauge invariant term proportional to C_0. Is it permissible for the entropy current of a system to be non gauge invariant (and therefore ambiguous)? Entropy in equilibrium is physical and should be well defined. Moreover, if we start a system in equilibrium, kick the system (by turning on time dependent background metric and gauge fields) and let it settle back into equilibrium, then the difference between the entropy of the initial and final state, is also unambiguous. It follows that the entropy production (i.e. divergence of the entropy current) as well as (3.15) are necessarily gauge invariant. However these requirements leaves room for the entropy current itself to be gauge dependent.

Over the next few paragraphs we find it useful to dualize the entropy current to a 3 form. The addition of an exact form to the entropy three form contributes neither to entropy production nor to the total integrated value of the entropy in equilibrium. For this reason we regard any two entropy 3-forms that differ by an exact three form as equivalent. With this understanding, the unique non gauge invariant entropy 3 form whose exterior derivative (the Hodge dual of entropy production) is gauge invariant is given by

$$\mathcal{A} \wedge d\mathcal{A}$$

The requirement that the exterior derivative of this 3 form to be gauge invariant forces its coefficient to be constant.[18]

The most general physically allowed form for the entropy current, at one derivative order, may then be read off from Table 2

$$\begin{aligned} J_S^\mu = {} & su^\mu - \nu J^\mu_{diss} + D_\theta \Theta u^\mu + D_c\left(E^\mu - T\mathcal{P}^{\mu\alpha}\partial_\alpha \nu\right) + D_E E^\mu + D_a \mathfrak{a}^\mu \\ & + D_\omega \omega^\mu + D_B B^\mu + h\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}\mathcal{A}_\nu \partial_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\sigma \qquad (3.17) \\ & \text{where } h \text{ is a constant} \end{aligned}$$

How is the entropy current (3.17) constrained by the requirement that its integral agrees with (3.14)? The one derivative entropy, as computed from the formula $\int d^3x\sqrt{g_4}J_S^0$ has two sources. First, the perfect fluid entropy current su^0 has a first derivative piece that comes from the one derivative correction of the equilibrium fluid velocity (see above). Second, from the one derivative correction to the entropy current (evaluated on the leading order equilibrium fluid configuration). The terms with coefficients D_θ and D_c vanish on the leading order equilibrium fluid configuration. Therefore these two coefficients can not be determined by comparing with the total entropy as derived from action. All the other correction terms computed from this procedure are parity odd, except those multiplying D_a and D_E. It is possible to verify that the integrals of the terms multiplying D_a and D_E are nonvanishing and linearly independent. As all first derivative entropy corrections in (3.14) are parity odd, it follows immediately that

$$D_a = D_E = 0.$$

Therefore the zero component of the entropy current at first derivative order is given by the following expression.

$$J_S^0|_{correction} = s\delta u^0 + \left(-\nu\xi_B + D_B\right)B^0 + \left(-\nu\xi_\omega + D_\omega\right)\omega^0 + h\epsilon^{0\nu\lambda\sigma}\mathcal{A}_\nu\partial_\lambda\mathcal{A}_\sigma \qquad (3.18)$$

---

[18] Naively, another candidate for a non gauge invariant contribution to the entropy three form is given by

$$\mathcal{A}\wedge d\left(h(T,\mu)U\right) \qquad (3.16)$$

where $U = u_\mu dx^\mu$ and h is an arbitrary function of temperature and chemical potential. But this term can be rewritten as follows.

$$\mathcal{A}\wedge d\left(h(T,\mu)\,U\right) = d\left(h(T,\mu)\,U\wedge\mathcal{A}\right) - h(T,\mu)\,U\wedge d\mathcal{A}$$

It follows that this addition is actually equivalent to a gauge invariant addition to the entropy 3 form.

Using

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nu = \frac{A_0}{T_0} \\
&B^0 = -\epsilon^{ijk} a_i \partial_j \left(A_k + T_0 \nu a_k \right) \\
&\omega^0 = \frac{e^{\sigma}}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} a_i \partial_j a_k \\
&\epsilon^{0\nu\lambda\sigma} \mathcal{A}_\nu \partial_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\sigma = e^{-\sigma} \epsilon^{ijk} \left[A_i \partial_j A_k + 2T_0 \nu a_i \partial_j A_k + T_0^2 \nu^2 a_i \partial_j a_k + \partial_i \left(T_0 \nu a_j A_k \right) \right] \\
&\delta u^0 = -a_i \delta u^i = b_1 \left[\frac{e^{\sigma}}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} a_i \partial_j a_k \right] - b_2 \left[\epsilon^{ijk} a_i \partial_j \left(A_k + T_0 \nu a_k \right) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

and the expressions for ξ_B, ξ_ω, b_1 and b_2 as computed in the previous subsection (see (3.11) and (3.12)), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d^3x \sqrt{-g_4} J_s^0|_{correction} \\
&= \int d^3x \sqrt{g_3} \epsilon^{ijk} \Bigg[T_0^2 \left(3C_1 + h\nu^2 + \frac{d_\omega}{2} - \nu d_B \right) a_i \partial_j a_k \\
&\qquad + T_0 (2C_2 + 2h\nu - d_B) a_i \partial_j A_k + h A_i \partial_j A_k \Bigg]
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$d_B = \frac{D_B}{T} - \left(\frac{C\nu^2}{2} - C_2 \right), \quad d_\omega = \frac{D_\omega}{T^2} - \left(\frac{C\nu^3}{3} - 2C_2\nu + 2C_1 \right)$$

Comparing this expression with (3.14) we find

$$h = C_0, \quad d_B = 2C_0\nu, \quad d_\omega = 2C_0\nu^2 \tag{3.21}$$

This result agrees precisely with that of Son and Surowka as generalized in [5]

3.5 Entropy current with non-negative divergence

In the previous subsection we have determined the entropy current by comparing with the total entropy derived from the equilibrium partition function and we have allowed for terms which are not gauge invariant provided their divergence is gauge-invariant.

Now we shall try to constrain the most general entropy current (as given in (3.17)) by demanding that its divergence is always non-negative for every possible fluid flow, consistent with the equations of motion. The analysis will be a small modification of [3] because of the new gauge non-invariant term with constant coefficient C_0 added. The steps are as follows.

- First we have to compute the divergence of the current given in (3.17). The new term in the entropy current contributes to the divergence in the following way.

$$\nabla_\mu \left[C_0 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \mathcal{A}_\nu \nabla_\alpha \mathcal{A}_\beta \right] = \frac{C_0}{4} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} = -2C_0 E_\mu B^\mu$$

The full divergence of the entropy current is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\mu J^\mu_S = &\eta\sigma_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \zeta\Theta^2 + \sigma T Q_\mu Q^\mu - \xi_E(Q_\mu E^\mu) - \xi_a(Q_\mu \mathfrak{a}^\mu) \\ &+ \Theta(u.\nabla)D_\theta + (\mathfrak{a}.\nabla)D_a + (Q.\nabla)D_c + (E.\nabla)D_E \\ &+ D_\theta(u.\nabla)\Theta + D_a(\nabla.\mathfrak{a}) + D_c(\nabla.Q) + D_E(\nabla.E) \\ &+ \left[\frac{\partial D_B}{\partial T} - \frac{D_B}{T}\right](B^\mu\partial_\mu T) + \left[\frac{\partial D_\omega}{\partial T} - 2\frac{D_\omega}{T}\right](\omega^\mu\partial_\mu T) \\ &+ \left[\frac{\partial D_B}{\partial \nu} - CT\nu - 2C_0T\right](B^\mu\partial_\mu\nu) + \left[\frac{\partial D_\omega}{\partial \nu} - 2D_B\right](\omega^\mu\partial_\mu\nu) \\ &+ \left[-\xi_\omega - \frac{2qT}{\epsilon+P}D_\omega + 2D_BT\right](\omega_\mu Q^\mu) \\ &+ \left[-\xi_B - \frac{qT}{\epsilon+P}D_B + CT\nu + 2C_0T\right](B_\mu Q^\mu)\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$Q_\mu = \partial_\mu\nu - \frac{E_\mu}{T}$$

and

$$J^\mu_{diss} = -\sigma T Q^\mu + \xi_E E^\mu + \xi_a\mathfrak{a}^\mu + \xi_\omega\omega^\mu + \xi_B B^\mu, \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^{\mu\nu} = -\eta\sigma^{\mu\nu} - \zeta\Theta P^{\mu\nu}$$

- As explained in [4], the divergence computed in (3.22) can be non-negative if $D_\theta,\ D_E,\ D_c,\ \xi_E$ and ξ_a are set to zero in the parity even sector.

- Since there is no B^2 or ω^2 term present in (3.22), for positivity, in the parity odd sector we need all the terms that are linear in B_μ and ω_μ to vanish. This condition imposes the following 6 constraints.

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial D_B}{\partial T} - \frac{D_B}{T} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial D_\omega}{\partial T} - \frac{2D_\omega}{T} = 0 \\ &\frac{\partial D_B}{\partial \nu} - CT\nu - 2C_0T = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial D_\omega}{\partial \nu} - 2D_B = 0 \\ &-\xi_\omega - \frac{2qT}{\epsilon+P}D_\omega + 2D_BT = 0 \\ &-\xi_B - \frac{qT}{\epsilon+P}D_B + CT\nu + 2C_0T = 0\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

- We can determine ξ_ω, ξ_B, D_B and D_ω by solving these equations. The solution is identical to the solution determined from the partition function (as given in (3.12) and (3.21)).

Field	C	P	T	CPT
σ	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$
a_i	$+$	$-$	$-$	$+$
g_{ij}	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$
A_0	$-$	$+$	$+$	$-$
A_i	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$

Table 3: Action of CPT

3.6 CPT Invariance

In this subsection we explore the constraints imposed on the partition function (1.11) by the requirement of 4 dimensional CPT invariance. In Table 3.6 we list the action of CPT on various fields appearing in the partition function. Using this table one can easily see that the terms with coefficient C_1 and C_0 change sign under CPT transformation while the terms with coefficient C_2 and C remains invariant. Thus the requirement of CPT invariance of the partition function forces $C_1 = 0$ and $C_0 = 0$. Further it also tell us that the function P appearing in the perfect fluid partition function, W^0, must be an even function of A_0 (i.e. that equilibrium does not distinguish between positive and negative charges).

4. Parity odd first order charged fluid dynamics in 2+1 dimensions

In this section we will derive the constraints imposed on the equations of 2+1 dimensional charged fluid dynamics, at first order in the derivative expansion, by comparison with the most general equilibrium partition function. The parity even constraints are identical to the ones found in 3+1 dimensions (which has been extensively discussed in §3). Therefore in this section we shall primarily focus on the parity odd constraints which are qualitatively much different from their 3+1 dimensional counterpart. These constraints have been obtained using a local form of the second law of thermodynamics in [18], which we shall reproduce starting from the most general equilibrium partition function.

4.1 Equilibrium from Hydrodynamics

Partially borrowing some notations from equation (1.2) in [18], the the most general

Pseudo-scalars	$\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i A_j$, $\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i a_j$
Pseudo-vectors	$\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i A_0$, $\epsilon^{ij}\partial^i \sigma$
Pseudo-tensors	None

Table 4: One derivative parity odd diffeomorphism and gauge invariant background data. Here ϵ^{ij} is defined so that $\epsilon^{12} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_2}}$.

symmetry allowed one derivative expansion of the constitutive relations is given by [19]

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon u^\mu u^\nu + (P - \zeta\nabla_\alpha u^\alpha - \tilde{\chi}_B B - \tilde{\chi}_\Omega \Omega)\, P^{\mu\nu} - \eta\sigma^{\mu\nu} - \tilde{\eta}\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\,, \tag{4.1a}$$

$$J^\mu = \rho u^\mu + \sigma V^\mu + \tilde{\sigma}\tilde{V}^\mu + \tilde{\chi}_E \tilde{E}^\mu + \tilde{\chi}_T \tilde{T}^\mu\,. \tag{4.1b}$$

The various quantities appearing in the constitutive relations (4.1) are defined as

$$\Omega = -\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\mu \nabla_\nu u_\rho, \qquad B = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\mu F_{\nu\rho}, \tag{4.2a}$$

$$E^\mu = F^{\mu\nu} u_\nu, \qquad V^\mu = E^\mu - T P^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\nu \frac{\mu}{T}, \tag{4.2b}$$

$$P^{\mu\nu} = u^\mu u^\nu + g^{\mu\nu}, \qquad \sigma^{\mu\nu} = P^{\mu\alpha}P^{\nu\beta}\left(\nabla_\alpha u_\beta + \nabla_\beta u_\alpha - g_{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\lambda u^\lambda\right)\,, \tag{4.2c}$$

and

$$\tilde{E}^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\nu E_\rho\,, \qquad \tilde{V}^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\nu V_\rho\,, \tag{4.2d}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon^{\mu\alpha\rho} u_\alpha \sigma_\rho{}^\nu + \epsilon^{\nu\alpha\rho} u_\alpha \sigma_\rho{}^\mu\right)\,, \qquad \tilde{T}^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\nu \nabla_\rho T. \tag{4.2e}$$

The thermodynamic quantities P, ϵ and ρ are the values of the pressure, energy density and charge density respectively in equilibrium. The transport coefficients $\tilde{\chi}_B$, $\tilde{\chi}_\Omega$, $\tilde{\chi}_E$ and $\tilde{\chi}_T$ are arbitrary functions of σ and A_0. The only non-zero quantities in equilibrium are B, $\omega, \tilde{E}^\mu$ and $\tilde{T}^\mu$. The rest of the first order quantities appearing on the RHS of (4.1) vanish on our equilibrium configuration. In Table 4 we list all the parity odd diffeomorphism invariant background field data. In Table 5 we list the first order quantities occurring in the constitutive relations that are non-zero in equilibrium and express them in terms of the background metric and gauge fields[20].

We are interested in the stationary equilibrium solutions of the fluid equations arising from constitutive relations (4.1). Solutions in equilibrium are determined entirely by the background fields σ, A_0, a_i, A_i and g^{ij}. Just like in 3+1 dimensions the zeroth order solution of the fluid fields are given by

$$u_0^\mu = \{e^{-\sigma}, 0, 0\}; \quad T_{(0)} = T_0 e^{-\sigma}; \quad \mu_{(0)} = e^{-\sigma} A_0. \tag{4.3}$$

---

[19] Note that in this constitutive relation the parity even constraint, namely the Einstein relation, have already been taken into account.

[20] In the following, we shall use $\epsilon^{12} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_2}}$

Type	Data	Evaluated at equilibrium
Pseudo-Scalars	B	$\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i A_j + A_0 \epsilon^{ij}\partial_i a_j$
	Ω	$-e^{\sigma}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i a_j$
Pseudo-Vectors	$\tilde{E}^{\mu}$ $\tilde{T}^{\mu}$	$\tilde{E}_0 = 0,\ \tilde{E}^i = e^{-\sigma}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_j A_0$ $\tilde{T}_0 = 0,\ \tilde{T}^i = -e^{-\sigma}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_j \sigma$
Pseudo-Tensors	none	

Table 5: One derivative fluid data which are non-zero in equilibrium.

The unit normalized vector in the killing direction is,

$$u^{\mu}_{K} = e^{-\sigma}(1, 0, 0)$$

In Table(4,5) we have listed all coordinate and gauge invariant one derivative parity odd scalars, vectors and tensors constructed out of this background data. Since there are 2 pseudo-scalars and 2 pseudo-vectors we can have the following most general parity odd corrections to the fluid fields at first order

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\mu} &= u^{\mu}_{(0)} + \xi_E\ \tilde{E}^{\mu}_{K} + \xi_T\ \tilde{T}^{\mu}_{K}, \\ T &= T_{(0)} + \tau_B\ B_K + \tau_{\Omega}\ \Omega_K, \\ \mu &= \mu_{(0)} + m_B\ B_K + m_{\Omega}\ \Omega_K, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where $\xi_E, \xi_T, \tau_B, \tau_{\Omega}, m_B$ and m_{Ω} are taken to be arbitrary functions of σ and A_0 to be determined by matching with the equilibrium partitions function in §4.3. $E^{\mu}_{K}, T^{\mu}_{K}, B_K, \omega_K$ are the vectors and scalars, defined in equations4.2a and 4.2c, velocity u replaced by u_K.

Just like in 3+1 dimensions the fluid stress tensor evaluated on this equilibrium configuration evaluates to (2.40) corrected by an expression of first order in the derivative expansion. The one derivative corrections again have two sources.

The first set of corrections arises from the corrections (4.1) evaluated on the zero order equilibrium fluid configuration (4.3). [21] The second source of corrections arises from inserting the fluid field corrections in (4.4) into the zero order (perfect fluid) constitutive relations. The net change in the stress tensor and the charge current at first order is obtained by summing these two contributions and is given by

---

[21] When $u^{\mu} \propto (1, 0 \ldots, 0)$ the Landau frame condition employed in this paper sets $\pi_{00} = \pi_{0i} = J^{diss}_0 = 0$. Consequently T_{00}, T_{0i} and J_0 receive no one derivative corrections of this sort.

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta T^{\mu\nu} &= \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\tau_B + \frac{\partial P}{\partial \mu}m_B - \tilde{\chi}_B\right) B_K P^{\mu\nu}_{(0)} + \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\tau_\Omega + \frac{\partial P}{\partial \mu}m_\Omega - \tilde{\chi}_\Omega\right) \Omega_K P^{\mu\nu}_{(0)} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial T}\tau_B + \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \mu}m_B\right) B_K u^\mu_{(0)} u^\nu_{(0)} + \left(\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial T}\tau_\Omega + \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \mu}m_\Omega\right) \Omega_K u^\mu_{(0)} u^\nu_{(0)} \\
&\quad + (\epsilon + P)\xi_E (u^\mu_{(0)} \tilde{E}^\nu_K + u^\nu_{(0)} \tilde{E}^\mu_K) + (\epsilon + P)\xi_T (u^\mu_{(0)} \tilde{T}^\nu_K + u^\nu_{(0)} \tilde{T}^\mu_K). \\
\delta J^\mu &= \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T}\tau_B + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mu}m_B\right) B_K u^\mu_{(0)} + \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T}\tau_\Omega + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mu}m_\Omega\right) \Omega_K u^\mu_{(0)} \\
&\quad + (\tilde{\chi}_E + \rho\xi_E)\tilde{E}^\mu_K + (\tilde{\chi}_T + \rho\xi_T)\tilde{T}^\mu_K
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

For future reference it will be convenient to to write down some of the components of the stress tensor and current in (4.5) purely in terms of the background fields using the expressions listed in the third column of Table 5.

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta T^{ij} &= \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\tau_B + \frac{\partial P}{\partial \mu}m_B - \tilde{\chi}_B\right) B_K g^{ij} + \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\tau_\Omega + \frac{\partial P}{\partial \mu}m_\Omega - \tilde{\chi}_\Omega\right) \Omega_K g^{ij} \\
\delta T_{00} &= e^{2\sigma}\left(\left(\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial T}\tau_B + \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \mu}m_B\right)\left(\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i A_j + A_0 \epsilon^{ij}\partial_i a_j\right) - e^{\sigma}\left(\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial T}\tau_\Omega + \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \mu}m_\Omega\right)\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i a_j\right), \\
\delta T^i_0 &= \left(-(\epsilon + P)\xi_E \epsilon^{ij}\partial_j A_0 + (\epsilon + P)\xi_T \epsilon^{ij}\partial_j \sigma\right) \\
\delta J_0 &= e^{\sigma}\left(-\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T}\tau_B + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mu}m_B\right)\left(\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i A_j + A_0 \epsilon^{ij}\partial_i a_j\right) + e^{\sigma}\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T}\tau_\Omega + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mu}m_\Omega\right)\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i a_j\right), \\
\delta J^i &= e^{-\sigma}\left(\left(\tilde{\chi}_E + \rho\xi_E\right)\epsilon^{ij}\partial_j A_0 - (\tilde{\chi}_T + \rho\xi_T)\epsilon^{ij}\partial_j \sigma\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

4.2 Equilibrium from the Partition Function

We now turn to the study of the first correction to the perfect fluid equilibrium partition function (2.39) at first order in the derivative expansion. From the fact that Table (4,5) lists two gauge invariant Hence the most general parity odd equilibrium partition function is given by

$$\mathcal{W} = \frac{1}{2}\int \left(\alpha(\sigma, A_0)dA + T_0\beta(\sigma, A_0)da\right), \tag{4.7}$$

where α and β are two arbitrary functions in terms of which all the 4 transport coefficients and the 6 first order corrections to the velocity, temperature and chemical potential are to be determined.

With the action (4.7) in hand it is straightforward to use (2.16) to obtain the stress tensor and current corresponding to this equilibrium solution. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
&T^{ij} = 0, \\
&T_{00} = -T_0 e^{\sigma}\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \sigma}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i A_j + T_0\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \sigma}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i a_j\right), \\
&T_0^i = T_0 e^{-\sigma}\left(\left(T_0\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \sigma} - A_0\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \sigma}\right)\epsilon^{ij}\partial_j\sigma + \left(T_0\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial A_0} - A_0\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial A_0}\right)\epsilon^{ij}\partial_j A_0\right), \\
&J_0 = -T_0 e^{\sigma}\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial A_0}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i A_j + T_0\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial A_0}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i a_j\right), \\
&J^i = T_0 e^{-\sigma}\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \sigma}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_j\sigma + \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial A_0}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_j A_0\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

4.3 Constraints on Hydrodynamics

In this subsection we shall equate the coefficients of independent terms in (4.5) (or (4.6)) with those in (4.8), to determine the first order transport coefficients and fluid corrections in terms of the two arbitrary functions in the action (4.7).

The fact that T^{ij} as evaluated from the action (4.7) vanishes immediately implies from (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\chi}_B &= \frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\tau_B + \frac{\partial P}{\partial \mu}m_B, \\
\tilde{\chi}_\Omega &= \frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\tau_\Omega + \frac{\partial P}{\partial \mu}m_\Omega,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Comparing T_{00} from (4.6) and (4.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial T}\tau_B + \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \mu}m_B = -T_0 e^{-\sigma}\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \sigma}, \\
&\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial T}\tau_\Omega + \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \mu}m_\Omega = T_0 e^{-2\sigma}\left(T_0\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \sigma} - A_0\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \sigma}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Comparing T_0^i from (4.6) and (4.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_E &= -\frac{T_0 e^{-\sigma}}{(\epsilon + P)}\left(T_0\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial A_0} - A_0\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial A_0}\right), \\
\xi_T &= \frac{T_0 e^{-\sigma}}{(\epsilon + P)}\left(T_0\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \sigma} - A_0\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \sigma}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Comparing J_0 from (4.6) and (4.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T}\tau_B + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mu}m_B = T_0\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial A_0}, \\
&\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T}\tau_\Omega + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mu}m_\Omega = -T_0 e^{-\sigma}\left(T_0\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial A_0} - A_0\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial A_0}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Finally, comparing J^i from (4.6) and (4.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_E + \rho\xi_E &= T_0 \frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial A_0}, \\ \tilde{\chi}_T + \rho\xi_T &= -\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial\sigma}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

In order to compare the constraints obtained in this section with that in [18] we find the following thermodynamical identities useful

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial P}{\partial\epsilon} &= \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\mu} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial\mu}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial T}\right)\Big/\left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\mu}\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial T} - \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial T}\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial\mu}\right), \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial\rho} &= \left(-\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial\mu} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial\mu}\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial T}\right)\Big/\left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\mu}\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial T} - \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial T}\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial\mu}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Now solving for τ_B, τ_Ω, m_B and m_Ω from (4.10) and (4.12), plugging the answer in to (4.9) and using the thermodynamical identities (4.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_B &= \frac{\partial P}{\partial\epsilon}\left(-T_0 e^{-\sigma}\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial\sigma}\right) + \frac{\partial P}{\partial\rho}\left(T_0\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial A_0}\right), \\ \tilde{\chi}_\Omega &= \frac{\partial P}{\partial\epsilon}\left(T_0 e^{-2\sigma}\left(T_0\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial\sigma} - A_0\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial\sigma}\right)\right) + \frac{\partial P}{\partial\rho}\left(-T_0 e^{-\sigma}\left(T_0\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial A_0} - A_0\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial A_0}\right)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Finally plugging in the values of ξ_E and ξ_T from (4.11) into (4.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_E &= \left(T_0\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial A_0}\right) - \frac{\rho}{\epsilon+P}\left(-T_0 e^{-\sigma}\left(T_0\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial A_0} - A_0\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial A_0}\right)\right) \\ T\tilde{\chi}_T &= \left(-T_0 e^{-\sigma}\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial\sigma}\right) - \frac{\rho}{\epsilon+P}\left(T_0 e^{-2\sigma}\left(T_0\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial\sigma} - A_0\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial\sigma}\right)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Thus through (4.15) and (4.16) we are able to express the 4 transport coefficients in terms two arbitrary functions in the action. The two dimensional manifold of allowed transport coefficients is identical to that in equation (1.8) in [18][22]. In particular it easy to eliminate α and β from (4.15) and (4.16) so as to obtain the following relation between the transport coefficients

$$\tilde{\chi}_B - \frac{\rho}{\epsilon+P}\,\tilde{\chi}_\Omega = \frac{\partial P}{\partial\rho}\tilde{\chi}_E + \frac{\partial P}{\partial\epsilon}\,T\,\tilde{\chi}_T. \tag{4.17}$$

Note that this relation is identical to equation (4.29) in [18].

---

[22] Note that the additional function $f_\Omega(T)$ may be reabsorbed into a redefinition of $M_\Omega(\mu, T)$ in equation (1.8) in [18].

4.4 The Entropy Current

In this system $\ln Z$ is simply given by the action

$$\ln Z = \frac{1}{2}\int \left(\alpha(\sigma, A_0)dA + T_0\beta(\sigma, A_0)da\right) \tag{4.18}$$

The entropy that follows from this partition function is

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}\left(T_0 \ln Z\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int \left(\alpha - \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \sigma} - A_0\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial A_0}\right) dA + T_0\left(2\beta - \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \sigma} - A_0\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial A_0}\right) da \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

We will now utilize (4.19) to constrain the hydrodynamical entropy current of the system. The entropy current must take the 'canonical' form $su^\mu - \nu J^\mu_{diss}$ corrected by first derivative terms. As in the rest of this section we keep track only of parity odd terms. It follows from Table 5 that the most general one derivative entropy current is given by [23]

$$J^\mu_{(s)} = su^\mu - \frac{\mu}{T}\left(\tilde{\chi}_E\tilde{E}^\mu + \tilde{\chi}_T\tilde{T}^\mu\right) + \left(n_E\tilde{E}^\mu + n_T\tilde{T}^\mu\right) + \left(n_B B + n_\Omega\Omega\right)u^\mu, \tag{4.21}$$

n_E, n_T, n_B and n_Ω are functions of temperature and the chemical potential. On substituting the equilibrium values of temperature and chemical potential they turn into functions of σ and A_0. We find it convenient to define the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{n}_E &= n_E - \frac{\mu}{T}\tilde{\chi}_E + s\xi_E, \\ \tilde{n}_T &= n_T - \frac{\mu}{T}\tilde{\chi}_T + s\xi_T. \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

in terms of which the first order part of the entropy current is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta J^\mu_{(s)} = &\left(\left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial T}\tau_B + \frac{\partial s}{\partial \mu}m_B + n_B\right)B + \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial T}\tau_\Omega + \frac{\partial s}{\partial \mu}m_\Omega + n_\Omega\right)\Omega\right)u^\mu_{(0)} \\ &+ \tilde{n}_E\tilde{E}^\mu + \tilde{n}_T\tilde{T}^\mu \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

As we have explained above, the entropy current is necessarily divergence free in equilibrium. This condition yields one condition

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{n}_E}{\partial \sigma} = -T_0\frac{\partial \tilde{n}_T}{\partial A_0}. \tag{4.24}$$

---

[23] The map between the corrections to the entropy current in our paper to that in [18], considering first order terms which are non-zero in the equilibrium, is given by

$$n_T = \tilde{\nu}_1 + \frac{\tilde{\nu}_5}{T}; \quad n_E = \tilde{\nu}_2 + \tilde{\nu}_4 + \frac{\tilde{\nu}_3}{T}; \quad n_B = \tilde{\nu}_4; \quad n_\Omega = \tilde{\nu}_5. \tag{4.20}$$

(4.24) is solved by the ansatz

$$\tilde{n}_E = T_0 \frac{\partial n}{\partial A_0}; \quad \tilde{n}_T = -\frac{\partial n}{\partial \sigma}, \tag{4.25}$$

where n is a arbitrary function of σ and A_0. Plugging in this solution, we now have a 3 parameter set of entropy currents parameterized by n_B, n_ω and n. The entropy (4.19) is an integral over the two parity odd scalars of the system. Equating (4.19) with $\int d^3x\sqrt{-g_3}J^0_S$, and equating the coefficients of these two scalars, yields two equations for n_B, n_ω and n. We now explain how this works in more detail

Using the fact

$$\tilde{E}^0 = -e^{-\sigma}\epsilon^{ij}a_i\partial_j A_0; \quad \tilde{T}^0 = e^{-\sigma}\epsilon^{ij}a_i\partial_j\sigma, \tag{4.26}$$

and the expressions of B and Ω in terms of the background field (from Table 5), the entropy can be evaluated from the entropy current in a manifestly Kaluza-Klein gauge invariant way

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^2x\sqrt{-g_3}J^0_{(s)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int\Bigg(\left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial T}\tau_B + \frac{\partial s}{\partial \mu}m_B + n_B\right)(dA + A_0 da) \\ &- \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial T}\tau_\Omega + \frac{\partial s}{\partial \mu}m_\Omega + n_\Omega\right)e^\sigma da - T_0 n da\Bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Comparing (4.27) with (4.19) and using the thermodynamic identities

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \epsilon} = \frac{1}{T}, \quad \frac{\partial s}{\partial \rho} = -\frac{\mu}{T}, \tag{4.28}$$

we get the following simple expressions

$$\begin{aligned} n_B &= \alpha \\ n_\Omega &= T_0 e^{-\sigma}\left(A_0\alpha - 2\beta - n\right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

In other words, we have managed to evaluate n_B, and one linear combination of n_Ω and n in terms of the functions, α and β, that appear in the partition function of our system. Note that we have not been able to completely determine the non dissipative part of the entropy current using our method (the method based on positivity of the entropy current achieves this determination). However, it straightforward to verify that the constraints (equations 3.11, 3.17, 3.18, and 3.20) in [18] on the corrections to the entropy current from the second law of thermodynamics, are consistent with the relations (4.29) and (4.25).

4.5 Comparison with Jensen et.al.

In this subsection we shall give a precise connection between partition function coefficients $\alpha,\ \beta$ in equation (4.7) and $M_{\Omega},\ M$ that appears in [18]. Comparing equations (4.15),(4.16) with equation 1.8 of [18], we get the following differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial M}{\partial \mu} &= T_0 \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial A_0}, \\ T\frac{\partial M}{\partial T} + \mu \frac{\partial M}{\partial \mu} - M &= -T \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \sigma}, \\ \frac{\partial M_{\Omega}}{\partial \mu} - M &= -T \left(T_0 \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial A_0} - A_0 \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial A_0} \right), \\ T\frac{\partial M_{\Omega}}{\partial T} + \mu \frac{\partial M_{\Omega}}{\partial \mu} + f_{\Omega} - 2M_{\Omega} &= T e^{-\sigma} \left(T_0 \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \sigma} - A_0 \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \sigma} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

By solving first two equations in 4.30, we get

$$\alpha = \frac{M}{T_0 e^{-\sigma}} + c \tag{4.31}$$

where c is some constant. Infact the entropy current presented in this paper matches that of [18] only if we set $c = 0$ (see equation (3.22) of [18]). Solving last two equations in 4.30, we get

$$\beta = -\frac{e^{2\sigma}}{T_0^2} M_{\Omega} + \frac{1}{T_0^2} \int f_{\Omega}(T_0 e^{-\sigma}) e^{2\sigma} d\sigma + \frac{A_0}{T_0} \left(\frac{M}{T_0 e^{-\sigma}} \right) + c_1, \tag{4.32}$$

where c_1 is some other constant.

Also comparing (4.15) and (4.16) with equations (3.17) and (3.18) in [18] one can express the entropy current corrections in terms of α and β in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} T^2 \frac{\partial \tilde{\nu}_4}{\partial T} &= -T_0 e^{-\sigma} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \sigma}, \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{\nu}_4}{\partial (\frac{\mu}{T})} &= T_0 \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial A_0}, \\ T^2 \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\nu}_5}{\partial T} + \tilde{\nu}_1 \right) &= T_0 e^{-2\sigma} \left(T_0 \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \sigma} - A_0 \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \sigma} \right), \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{\nu}_5}{\partial (\frac{\mu}{T})} + \tilde{\nu}_3 &= -T_0 e^{-\sigma} \left(T_0 \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial A_0} - A_0 \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial A_0} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

Note that with this identification, the equation (3.20) in [18] automatically follows.

4.6 Constraints from CPT invariance

Imposing CPT invariance of the partition function 4.7 constrains the form of the otherwise arbitrary functions $\alpha,\ \beta$. (and hence all transport coefficients determined in terms

Field	C	P	T	CPT
σ	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$
a_1	$+$	$-$	$-$	$+$
a_2	$+$	$+$	$-$	$-$
A_0	$-$	$+$	$+$	$-$
A_1	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$
A_2	$-$	$+$	$-$	$+$

Table 6: Action of CPT

of α and β). Note that we define parity in 2+1 dimensions as $x_1 \to -x_1$ and $x_2 \to x_2$. In Table 6 we list the action of CPT on various fields appearing in the partition function 4.7. Based on the Table 6 we see, in 4.7 "dA" changes sign where as "da" does not, which implies α is odd under CPT and β is even under CPT.

5. $3+1$ dimensional uncharged fluid dynamics at second order in the derivative expansion

In this section we will derive the constraints imposed on the equations of uncharged fluid dynamics, at second order in the derivative expansion, by comparison with the most general equilibrium partition function. We do not assume that our system enjoys invariance under parity transformations. We do, however, assume that the fluid enjoys invariance under CPT transformations.

Before getting into the details let us summarize our results. Symmetry considerations determine the expansion of the hydrodynamical stress tensor upto 15 parity even and 5 parity odd transport coefficients. It turns out the 7 of the parity even and 2 of the odd terms vanish in equilibrium. In other words, on symmetry grounds our system has 7 parity odd and 2 parity even dissipative coefficients. In addition we have 8 parity even and 3 parity odd non dissipative coefficients. The most general second order fluid dynamical partition function, on the other hand, is given in terms of three functions of σ [24]. It turns out that this partition function is automatically even under parity transformations. As a consequence, implementing the procedure spelt out in the introduction, we are able to show that the three nondissipative parity odd coefficients all vanish. In addition the 8 nondissipative parity even coefficients are all determined in term of three functions. In other words we are able to derive 5 relations between these 8 parity even coefficients.

---

[24] The only possible first order contribution to the partition function is the term proportional to C_1 in (1.11). As explained in subsection 3.6 the requirement of CPT invariance forces C_1 to vanish. It follows that there are no first order contributions to the partition function for an uncharged system. We thank S. Dutta for discussions on this topic.

The problem of constraining fluid dynamics at second order in the derivative expansion, using the principle of entropy increase, was studied by one of the authors of this paper in [10]. In that work the fluid was assumed to enjoy invariance under parity transformations. It was demonstrated that the principle of entropy increase indeed implies 5 relations between the 8 non dissipative transport coefficients. It turns out that the five relations determined in this paper agree exactly with those of [10].

Even from a practical point of view the method used in this paper appears to have some advantages over the more traditional entropy method utilized in [10]. To start with the algebra required for the analysis in this paper is considerably less formidable than that employed in [10]. As a consequence we are able, rather effortlessly, to generalize our results to allow for the possibility of parity violation. Such a generalization would involve considerable extra effort using the method of [10], and has not yet been done.

5.1 Equilibrium from Hydrodynamics

In Tables 1, 2, 3, 7 of [10], all scalar, vector and tensor expressions that one can form out of fluid fields and background metric (not necessarily in equilibrium) at second order in the derivative expansion are listed. It follows from the listing of these tables that the most general symmetry allowed two derivative expansion of the constitutive relations is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{\mu\nu} = \quad & -\eta\sigma_{\mu\nu} - \zeta P_{\mu\nu}\Theta \\
& + T\Big[\tau\ (u.\nabla)\sigma_{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} + \kappa_1 \tilde{R}_{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} + \kappa_2 K_{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} + \lambda_0\ \Theta\sigma_{\mu\nu} \\
& + \lambda_1\ \sigma_{\langle\mu}{}^{a}\sigma_{a\nu\rangle} + \lambda_2\ \sigma_{\langle\mu}{}^{a}\omega_{a\nu\rangle} + \lambda_3\ \omega_{\langle\mu}{}^{a}\omega_{a\nu\rangle} + \lambda_4\ \mathfrak{a}_{\langle\mu}\mathfrak{a}_{\nu\rangle}\Big] \\
& + TP_{\mu\nu}\Big[\zeta_1(u.\nabla)\Theta + \zeta_2\tilde{R} + \zeta_3\tilde{R}_{00} + \xi_1\Theta^2 + \xi_2\sigma^2 + \xi_3\omega^2 + \xi_4\mathfrak{a}^2\Big] \\
& + T\Big[\sum_{i=1}^{4}\delta_i t^{(i)}_{\mu\nu} + \delta_5 P_{\mu\nu}\mathfrak{a}_\alpha l^\alpha\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Type	Data	Evaluated on equilibrium
Pseudo-Scalars	$l^\mu \mathfrak{a}_\mu$	$\frac{1}{2} e^\sigma \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_i \sigma f_{jk}$
Pseudo-Vectors	$(\nabla . u) l_\mu$,	0
	$\sigma_{\mu\nu} l^\nu$,	0
	$u.\nabla l_\mu$	$\frac{1}{2} e^{2\sigma} \ (\epsilon^{ijk} \partial_i \sigma f_{jk} \ , \ \ f_{ij} \epsilon^{jkl} f_{kl})$
Pseudo-Tensors	$t^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} = l_{<\mu} \mathfrak{a}_{\nu>}$,	$\frac{1}{2} e^\sigma \partial_{\langle i} \sigma \epsilon_{j\rangle kl} f^{kl}$
	$t^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\lambda\rho\alpha\beta} u_\lambda \mathfrak{a}_\rho \sigma_{\alpha<\mu} g_{\nu>\beta}$,	0
	$t^{(3)}_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\lambda\rho\alpha\beta} u_\lambda \nabla_\rho \sigma_{\alpha<\mu} g_{\nu>\beta}$,	0
	$t^{(4)}_{\mu\nu} = u_b \tilde{R}^{bcd}_{<\mu} \epsilon_{\nu>cdq} u^q$	$\frac{1}{2} d_1 e^\sigma \partial_{\langle i} \sigma \epsilon_{j\rangle kl} f^{kl} + \frac{1}{2} d_2 e^\sigma \nabla_{\langle i} \epsilon_{j\rangle kl} f^{kl}$

Table 7: Two derivative parity violating fluid data(Here $d_{1,2}$ are function of σ determined by evaluating $t^4_{\mu\nu}$ on equilibrium, but we will not need there explicit expression.)

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&u^\mu = \text{The normalised four velocity of the fluid}\\
&P^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} + u^\mu u^\nu = \text{Projector perpendicular to } u^\mu\\
&\Theta = \nabla . u = \text{Expansion}, \quad \mathfrak{a}_\mu = (u.\nabla) u_\mu = \text{Acceleration}\\
&\sigma^{\mu\nu} = P^{\mu\alpha} P^{\nu\beta} \left(\frac{\nabla_\alpha u_\beta + \nabla_\beta u_\alpha}{2} - \frac{\Theta}{3} g_{\alpha_\beta} \right) = \text{Shear tensor}\\
&\omega^{\mu\nu} = P^{\mu\alpha} P^{\nu\beta} \left(\frac{\nabla_\alpha u_\beta - \nabla_\beta u_\alpha}{2} \right) = \text{Vorticity}\\
&K^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{R}^{\mu a \nu b} u_a u_b, \quad \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{R}^{a\mu b\nu} g_{ab} \quad (\tilde{R}^{abcd} = \text{Riemann tensor})\\
&\sigma^2 = \sigma_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu}, \qquad \omega^2 = \omega_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\nu\mu}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$A_{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} \equiv P^\alpha_\mu P^\beta_\nu \left(\frac{A_{\alpha\beta} + A_{\beta\alpha}}{2} - \left[\frac{A_{ab} P^{ab}}{3} \right] g_{\alpha\beta} \right) \quad \text{For any tensor } A_{\mu\nu}$$

The parity odd terms in the last bracket in (5.1) are defined in Table 7.

The expansion (5.1) is given in terms of 15 undetermined parity even and five undetermined parity odd transport coefficients, each of which is, as yet, an arbitrary function of temperature).

We are interested in the stationary equilibrium solutions of these equations. Solutions in equilibrium are determined entirely by the background fields σ, a_i and g^{ij}. In Table(2,1) we have seen that the Θ and $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ evaluates to zero in equilibrium. This sets seven of the fifteen parity even terms in equation 5.1 to zero. Two of the five parity odd terms two terms ($t^{(2,3)}_{\mu\nu}$ in table 7) evaluate to zero in equilibrium. The remaining 8 parity even and 3 parity odd coefficients are non dissipative; the non dissipative part of $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Pi_{\mu\nu}}{T} &= \kappa_1 \tilde{R}_{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} + \kappa_2 K_{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} + \lambda_3 \omega_{\langle\mu}{}^{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha\nu\rangle} + \lambda_4 \mathfrak{a}_{\langle\mu}\mathfrak{a}_{\nu\rangle} \\ &+ P_{\mu\nu}(\zeta_2 \tilde{R} + \zeta_3 \tilde{R}_{00}(u^0)^2 + \xi_3\omega^2 + \xi_4\mathfrak{a}^2) \\ &+ \delta_1 t^1_{\mu\nu} + \delta_4 t^4_{\mu\nu} + \delta_5 P_{\mu\nu}\mathfrak{a}_\alpha l^\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

In order to proceed further, we list all coordinate invariant two derivative scalars, vectors and tensors constructed out of background data are listed in table (8). The temperature and velocity in equilibrium receives correction at second order. The most general symmetry allowed form of corrected temperature and velocity is

$$\begin{aligned} u^\mu &= b_0 u_0^\mu + \left(\sum_{m=1}^{2} v_m V^i_{(m)}\right) + \tilde{v}\tilde{V}^i, \\ T &= T_0 e^{-\sigma} + \left(\sum_{m=1}^{4} t_m S_m\right) + \tilde{t}\tilde{S} \end{aligned}$$

where, $V_m(\tilde{V})$ and $S_i(\tilde{S})$are Vectors(pseudo) and scalars(pseudo) respectively that are listed in table 8. Also b_0 can be fixed following equation 3.2 as,

$$b_0 = 1 - e^\sigma a.\left(\sum_{m=1}^{2} v_m V_{(m)} + \tilde{v}\tilde{V}\right) \tag{5.4}$$

As in previous sections, the stress tensor in equilibrium received corrections at second order in the derivative expansion. The two derivative corrections have two sources. The first set of corrections arises from the corrections (5.1) evaluated on the zero order equilibrium fluid configuration. Using

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_{00}(u^0)^2 &= \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu = \frac{1}{4}e^{2\sigma}f^2 + (\nabla\sigma)^2 + \nabla^2\sigma \\ \omega^{ij} &= -\frac{e^\sigma}{2}f^{ij}, \quad \mathfrak{a}^i = g^{im}\partial_m\sigma, \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_{<ij>} &= R_{ij} - \nabla_i\sigma\nabla_j\sigma - \nabla_i\nabla_j\sigma + \frac{1}{2}f_i{}^k f_{jk}e^{2\sigma} \\ &- \frac{1}{3}\left(R - (\nabla\sigma)^2 - \nabla^2\sigma + \frac{1}{2}f^2e^{2\sigma}\right)g_{ij} \\ K_{<ij>} &= \nabla_i\sigma\nabla_j\sigma + \nabla_i\nabla_j\sigma + \frac{1}{4}f_i{}^k f_{jk}e^{2\sigma} \\ &- \frac{1}{3}\left((\nabla\sigma)^2 + \nabla^2\sigma + \frac{1}{4}f^2e^{2\sigma}\right)g_{ij} \\ \omega^a_{<i}\omega_{aj>} &= e^{2\sigma}\left(f_i{}^a f_{ja} - \frac{1}{3}f^2 g_{ij}\right) \\ \mathfrak{a}_{<i}\mathfrak{a}_{j>} &= \nabla_i\sigma\nabla_j\sigma - \frac{1}{3}(\nabla\sigma)^2 g_{ij} \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Scalars	$S_1 = R,\ S_2 = \nabla^2\sigma,\ S_3 = (\nabla\sigma)^2,\ S_4 = f^2 e^{2\sigma}$
Pseudo-Scalars	$\tilde{S} = \epsilon^{ijk}\partial_i\sigma f_{jk}$
Vectors	$V_1 = e^{\sigma}\nabla_i\sigma f^{ij}, \quad V_2 = e^{\sigma}\nabla_i f^{ij},$
Pseudo-Vectors	$\tilde{V}_i = f_{ij} f_{kl}\epsilon^{jkl}$
Tensors	$R_{ij}, f_i{}^k f_{kj}, \nabla_i\nabla_j\sigma, \nabla_i\sigma\nabla_j\sigma$
Pseudo-Tensors	$\partial_{\langle i}\sigma\epsilon_{j\rangle kl} f^{kl},\ \nabla_{\langle i}\epsilon_{j\rangle kl} f^{kl}$

Table 8: Two derivative background data

we find that these corrections are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi^{eq}_{ij} &= a_1\left(R_{ij} - \frac{R}{2}g_{ij}\right) + a_2\left(\nabla_i\nabla_j\sigma - \nabla^2\sigma g_{ij}\right) + a_3\left(\nabla_i\sigma\nabla_j\sigma - \frac{(\nabla\sigma)^2}{2}g_{ij}\right) \\
&\quad + a_4\left(f_i^k f_{kj} + \frac{f^2}{4}g_{ij}\right)e^{2\sigma} + g_{ij}\left(b_1 R + b_2\nabla^2\sigma + b_3(\nabla\sigma)^2 + b_4 f^2 e^{2\sigma}\right) \qquad (5.7) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}T(\delta_1 + \delta_4 d_1)e^{\sigma}\partial_{\langle i}\sigma\epsilon_{j\rangle kl} f^{kl} + \frac{1}{2}T d_2\delta_4 e^{\sigma}\epsilon_{kl\langle i}\nabla_{j\rangle} f^{kl} + \frac{1}{2}T\delta_5 e^{\sigma} g_{ij}\epsilon_{mlk}\partial^m\sigma f^{lk} \quad \text{where,} \\
\frac{b_1}{T} &= \zeta_2 + \frac{1}{6}\kappa_1, \quad \frac{b_2}{T} = \frac{2}{3}(\kappa_2 - \kappa_1) - 2\zeta_2 + \zeta_3 \\
\frac{b_3}{T} &= \frac{1}{6}(\kappa_2 - \kappa_1 + \lambda_4) - 2\zeta_2 + \zeta_3 + \xi_4 \\
\frac{b_4}{T} &= \frac{1}{48}(\lambda_3 - \kappa_2 - 2\kappa_1) + \frac{1}{4}(\zeta_2 + \zeta_3 - \xi_3), \quad \frac{a_1}{T} = \kappa_1 \\
\frac{a_2}{T} &= \kappa_2 - \kappa_1, \quad \frac{a_3}{T} = \kappa_2 - \kappa_1 + \lambda_4, \quad \frac{a_4}{T} = \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_3 - 2\kappa_1 - \kappa_2). \qquad (5.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the indicies are contracted with the lower dimensional metric g_{ij} and its inverse. The coefficients are determined by evaluating the $t^{(1,4)}_{\mu\nu}$ in equilibrium, but we will not need the explicit expressions.

The second source of corrections arises from inserting the velocity correction (3.3) into the zero order (perfect fluid) constitutive relations. We find that the modification of the stress tensor due to these corrections is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{ij} &= P_T g^{ij}\left(\sum t_m S_m + \tilde{t}\tilde{S}\right) \\
T_{00} &= T_0^2\frac{P_{TT}}{T}\left(\sum t_m S_m + \tilde{t}\tilde{S}\right) \\
T_0^i &= -(\epsilon + P)e^{\sigma}\left(\sum v_m V_m^i + \tilde{v}\tilde{V}^i\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

The net change in T_0^i and J^i is given by summing(5.7) and (5.9) and is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{ij} &= P_T g^{ij}\left(\sum t_m S_m + \tilde{t}\tilde{S}\right) + \Pi^{ij}_{eq} \\
T_{00} &= T_0^2\frac{P_{TT}}{T}\left(\sum t_m S_m + \tilde{t}\tilde{S}\right) \\
T_0^i &= -(\epsilon + P)e^{\sigma}\left(\sum v_m V_m^i + \tilde{v}\tilde{V}^i\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

where Π^{ij}_{eq} was listed in (5.7).

5.2 Equilibrium from the Partition Function

We now turn to the study of the first correction to the perfect fluid equilibrium partition function (2.39) at second order in the derivative expansion. We observe that the Table (8) lists four scalars and one pseudo-scalar. The most generic partition function for this system at two derivative order is,

$$\begin{aligned} W = \log Z = -\frac{1}{2}\int d^3x\ \sqrt{g_3}\left[\tilde{P}_1(T_0e^{-\sigma})R + T_0^2\tilde{P}_2(T_0e^{-\sigma})f_{ij}f^{ij} + \tilde{P}_3(T_0e^{-\sigma})(\partial\sigma)^2\right] \\ \text{where}\ \ \tilde{P}_i(T_0e^{-\sigma}) = P_i(\sigma)\ \ \text{and}\ \ P_i' \equiv \frac{dP_i(\sigma)}{d\sigma}\quad (i = 1, 2, 3) \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

where P_1, P_2, P_3 are three arbitrary function of σ and from now on we will remove the explicit dependence. In partition function, the fourth scalar $\nabla^2\sigma$ and the pseudo-scalar $\epsilon_{ijk}\partial^i\sigma f^{jk}$ do not appear as they are total derivatives.

With the action (5.11) in hand it is straightforward to use analog of (2.16) for uncharged case[25] to obtain the equilibrium stress tensor. We find

$$\begin{aligned} T^{ij} &= TP_1\big(R^{ij} - \frac{1}{2}Rg^{ij}\big) + 2T_0^2TP_2\big(f^{ik}f_{jk} - \frac{1}{4}f^2g^{ij}\big) + T(P_3 - P_1'')\big(\nabla^i\sigma\nabla^j\sigma \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\sigma)^2g^{ij}\big) - TP_1'\big(\nabla^i\nabla^j\sigma - g^{ij}\nabla^2\sigma\big) + \frac{1}{2}TP_1''(\nabla\sigma)^2g^{ij} \\ T_{00} &= \frac{T_0^2}{2T}\big(P_1'R + T_0^2P_2'f^2 - P_3'(\nabla\sigma)^2 - 2P_3\nabla^2\sigma)\big) \\ T_0^i &= 2T_0^2T\big(P_2'\nabla_j\sigma f^{ji} + P_2\nabla_jf^{ji}\big), \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

where $'$ denotes derivative with respect to σ.

5.3 Constraints on Hydrodynamics

Comparing non trivial components of the stress tensor T_0^i, T_{00} in equations 5.10,5.13 and equating coefficients of independent sources one obtains the velocity and temperature corrections in terms of the coefficients P appearing in 5.11. We find

$$\begin{aligned} &v_1 = -\frac{2T^2}{P_T}P_2',\quad v_2 = -\frac{2T^2}{P_T}P_2,\quad \tilde{v} = 0, \\ &t_1 = \frac{1}{2P_{TT}}P_1',\quad t_2 = -\frac{1}{P_{TT}}P_3,\quad t_3 = -\frac{1}{2P_{TT}}P_3',\quad t_4 = \frac{T^2}{2P_{TT}}P_2',\quad \tilde{t} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

---

[25] The stress tensor can be evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} T_{00} &= -\frac{T_0e^{2\sigma}}{\sqrt{-g_{(p+1)}}}\frac{\delta W}{\delta\sigma},\quad T_0^i = \frac{T_0}{\sqrt{-g_{(p+1)}}}\frac{\delta W}{\delta a_i}, \\ T^{ij} &= -\frac{2T_0}{\sqrt{-g_{(p+1)}}}g^{il}g^{jm}\frac{\delta W}{\delta g^{lm}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

Now comparing T_{ij} in equations 5.10,5.13, and using expressions for temperature corrections, one can express the transport coefficients in terms of the three coefficients P appearing in 5.11. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_1 = TP_1, \quad a_2 = -TP_1' \quad a_4 = -2T^3 P_2, \quad a_3 = T(P_3 - P_1''),\\
&b_1 = -\frac{P_T}{2P_{TT}} P_1', \quad b_2 = \frac{P_T}{P_{TT}} P_3, \quad b_4 = -\frac{P_T T^2}{2P_{TT}} P_2',\\
&b_3 = \frac{1}{2} T P_1'' + \frac{P_T}{2P_{TT}} P_3', \quad \delta_1 = \delta_4 = \delta_5 = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

One can eliminate the coefficients $P's$ from above set of relations which gives five relations among transport coefficients,

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_1 + a_2 - T\partial_T a_1 = 0, \quad \frac{TP_{TT}}{P_T} b_1 + \frac{1}{2}(a_1 - T\partial_T a_1) = 0\\
&\frac{TP_{TT}}{P_T} b_2 + (a_2 - T\partial_T a_2) - a_3 = 0, \quad 4\frac{TP_{TT}}{P_T} b_4 - (3a_4 - T\partial_T a_4) = 0,\\
&2\frac{TP_{TT}}{P_T} b_3 + \big(\frac{TP_{TT}}{P_T} + 1\big)(a_2 - T\partial_T a_2) - T\partial_T (a_2 - T\partial_T a_2) - (a_3 - T\partial_T a_3) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

Note that parity odd contributions, both to the equilibrium value of the temperature and velocity, as well as to the constitutive relations, are forced to vanish. The simple reason for this is that the most general two derivative correction to the partition function 5.11 is parity even. Note also that the eight parity even non dissipative transport coefficients are all determined in terms of the three functions that parameterize the two derivative partition function. This leaves us five relations among the transport coefficients; these relations may be obtained by substituting the definitions of the a and b coefficients in (5.7) into (5.16); we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_2 &= \kappa_1 + T\frac{d\kappa_1}{dT}\\
\zeta_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\left[s\frac{d\kappa_1}{ds} - \frac{\kappa_1}{3}\right]\\
\zeta_3 &= \left(s\frac{d\kappa_1}{ds} + \frac{\kappa_1}{3}\right) + \left(s\frac{d\kappa_2}{ds} - \frac{2\kappa_2}{3}\right) + \frac{s}{T}\left(\frac{dT}{ds}\right)\lambda_4\\
\\
\xi_3 &= \frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{s}{T}\right)\left(\frac{dT}{ds}\right)\left(T\frac{d\kappa_2}{dT} + 2\kappa_2\right) - \frac{3\kappa_2}{4} + \left(\frac{s}{T}\right)\left(\frac{dT}{ds}\right)\lambda_4\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}\left[s\frac{d\lambda_3}{ds} + \frac{\lambda_3}{3} - 2\left(\frac{s}{T}\right)\left(\frac{dT}{ds}\right)\lambda_3\right]\\
\xi_4 &= -\frac{\lambda_4}{6} - \frac{s}{T}\left(\frac{dT}{ds}\right)\left(\lambda_4 + \frac{T}{2}\frac{d\lambda_4}{dT}\right) - T\left(\frac{d\kappa_2}{dT}\right)\left(\frac{3s}{2T}\frac{dT}{ds} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\\
&\quad - \frac{Ts}{2}\left(\frac{dT}{ds}\right)\left(\frac{d^2\kappa_2}{dT^2}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

This is in perfect agreement with the relations obtained in [10] using the second law of thermodynamics.

5.4 The Entropy Current

The entropy of our system is given by

$$S = \frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}(T_0 \log Z) \tag{5.18}$$

The partition function of our system is given by

$$\log Z = -\frac{1}{2}\int d^3x \; \sqrt{g_3}\left[\tilde{P}_1(T_0 e^{-\sigma})R + T_0^2 \tilde{P}_2(T_0 e^{-\sigma}) f_{ij}f^{ij} + \tilde{P}_3(T_0 e^{-\sigma})(\partial\sigma)^2\right] \tag{5.19}$$

(we are careful to explicitly keep track of the temperature dependence in the partition function, see the equation (5.11) for a definition of the functions $\tilde{P}$). The total entropy as evaluated from this partition function is

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}(T_0 \log Z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int \sqrt{g}\left[(P_1' - P_1)R + T_0^2(P_2' - 3P_2) f_{ij}f^{ij} + (P_3' - P_3)(\partial\sigma)^2\right] \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

To second order in the derivative expansion, the most general symmetry allowed entropy current is given by [10]

$$\begin{aligned} J_S^\mu =& s u^\mu + \tilde{J}_S^\mu \\ &\text{where} \\ \tilde{J}_S^\mu =& \nabla_\nu\left[A_1(u^\mu \nabla^\nu T - u^\nu \nabla^\mu T)\right] + \nabla_\nu\left(A_2 T \omega^{\mu\nu}\right) \\ &+ A_3\left(\tilde{R}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\tilde{R}\right)u_\nu + \left[A_4(u.\nabla)\Theta + A_5\tilde{R} + A_6(\tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta}u^\alpha u^\beta)\right]u^\mu \\ &+ (B_1\omega^2 + B_2\Theta^2 + B_3\sigma^2)u^\mu + B_4\left[(\nabla s)^2 u^\mu + 2s\Theta\nabla^\mu s\right] \\ &+ \left[\Theta\nabla^\mu B_5 - P^{ab}(\nabla_b u^\mu)(\nabla_a B_5)\right] + B_6\Theta\mathfrak{a}^\mu + B_7\mathfrak{a}_\nu\sigma^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

The terms above with A_1 and A_2 as coefficients are total derivative and do contribute to the total entropy. It follows that A_1 and A_2 are unconstrained by comparison with equilibrium (even though these terms do not pointwise vanish in equilibrium). Terms with coefficients $A_4,\ B_2,\ B_3,\ B_6$ and B_7 vanish on the equilibrium solution. Consequently these coefficients are also unconstrained by the considerations of this section. The entropy current coefficients that can be are constrained by comparison with (5.20) are $A_3,\ A_5,\ A_6,\ B_1,\ B_4$ and B_5

As above, there are two sources for the second order correction to the entropy of our system. The su^μ part in J_S^μ contributes to the total entropy at second order in derivative expansion because of the second order corrections δu^μ to the equilibrium velocity u^μ and δT to the equilibrium temperature.More precisely, if the equilibrium temperature and velocity of our system to second order is given by

$$T = T_{(0)} + \delta T = T_0 e^{-\sigma} + \delta T \quad \text{and} \quad u^\mu = u^\mu_{(0)} + \delta u^\mu = e^{-\sigma}(1,0,0,0) + \delta u^\mu$$

then clearly

$$su^0|_{\text{2nd order}} = e^{-\sigma}\left(\frac{ds}{dT}\right)\delta T + s\delta u^0$$

.

Using (5.14) and (5.4) we find

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{ds}{dT}\right)\delta T &= \frac{1}{2}\left[P_1'\ R + P_2'\ T_0^2 f^2 - P_3'\ (\partial\sigma)^2 - 2P_3\ \nabla^2\sigma\right]\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left[P_1'\ R + P_2'\ T_0^2 f^2 + P_3'\ (\partial\sigma)^2 - 2\nabla_i\left(P_3\nabla^i\sigma\right)\right]\end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

$$s\delta u^i = -2e^{-\sigma}T_0^2\left[P_2'\ \nabla_j\sigma f^{ji} + P_2\ \nabla_j f^{ji}\right] = -2T_0^2 e^{-\sigma}\nabla_j\left(P_2\ f^{ji}\right)$$

Therefore using 3.2, the second order correction to J_S^0, from the perfect fluid piece su^0, evaluates to

$$su^0|_{\text{2nd order}} = \frac{e^{-\sigma}}{2}\left[P_1'\ R + (P_2' - 2P_2)\ T_0^2 f^2 + P_3'\ (\partial\sigma)^2\right] + e^{-\sigma}\nabla_j\left[2T_0^2 P_2\ f^{ji}a_i - P_3\nabla^j\sigma\right] \tag{5.23}$$

The second source of two derivative corrections to the entropy current come from the explicit two derivative corrections to the entropy current (5.21) evaluated on the perfect fluid equilibrium configurations. Using

$$\begin{aligned}&f^2 \equiv f_{ij}f^{ij}\\ &P^{\mu a}\nabla_a u^\nu = \sigma^{\mu\nu} + \omega^{\mu\nu} + P^{\mu\nu}\frac{\Theta}{3}\\ &\tilde{R} = R - 2(\partial\sigma)^2 - 2\nabla^2\sigma + \frac{e^{2\sigma}}{4}f^2\\ &\tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta}u^\alpha u^\beta = (\partial\sigma)^2 + \nabla^2\sigma + \frac{e^{2\sigma}}{4}f^2\\ &\tilde{R}^i_0 = \frac{e^{2\sigma}}{2}\left[\nabla_j f^{ji} + 3(\nabla_j\sigma)f^{ji}\right]\\ &\tilde{R}^0_0 = -\left(e^{-2\sigma}\tilde{R}_{00} + a_i\tilde{R}^i_0\right)\\ &e^\sigma\omega^{0i}\partial_i T = -\frac{Te^{2\sigma}}{2}(\partial_i\sigma)f^{ji}a_j\end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

we find that the zero component of $\tilde{J}^\mu_S$ evaluates on equilibrium to

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{J}^0_S =& e^{-\sigma}\left[A_3\left(\tilde{R}^0_0 - \frac{\tilde{R}}{2}\right) + A_5\tilde{R} + A_6(\tilde{R}_{00}e^{-2\sigma}) + B_1\omega^2 + B_4(\partial s)^2 + e^\sigma\left(\frac{dB_5}{dT}\right)\omega^{0i}(\partial_i T)\right] \\
=& e^{-\sigma}\Bigg[\left(A_5 - \frac{A_3}{2}\right)R + \left(\frac{2A_5 + 2A_6 - 3A_3 - 2B_1}{8}\right)e^{2\sigma}f^2 + T^2\left(\frac{ds}{dT}\right)^2 B_4(\partial\sigma)^2 \\
&+ (A_6 - 2A_5)\left[\nabla^2\sigma + (\partial\sigma)^2\right] - \frac{A_3 e^{2\sigma}}{2}a_i\nabla_j f^{ji} - \frac{\left(3A_3 - T\frac{dB_5}{dT}\right)e^{2\sigma}}{2}a_i f^{ji}\partial_j\sigma\Bigg] \\
=& e^{-\sigma}\Bigg[\left(A_5 - \frac{A_3}{2}\right)R + \left(\frac{2A_5 + 2A_6 - A_3 - 2B_1}{8}\right)e^{2\sigma}f^2 \\
&+ \left[\left(T\frac{ds}{dT}\right)^2 B_4 + T\frac{d}{dT}(A_6 - 2A_5)\right](\partial\sigma)^2 + \frac{T}{2}\left(\frac{dB_5}{dT} - \frac{A_3}{T} - \frac{dA_3}{dT}\right)a_i f^{ji}\partial_j\sigma \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\nabla_j\left(A_3 e^{2\sigma}a_i f^{ji}\right) + \nabla_i\left[(A_6 - 2A_5)\nabla^i\sigma\right]\Bigg]
\end{aligned} \tag{5.25}$$

Summing (5.23) and (5.25) and ignoring total derivatives, we find our final result for the two derivative correction to the total entropy.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Total Entropy} \\
&= \int d^3x\sqrt{g_3}\Bigg[\left(A_5 - \frac{A_3}{2} + \frac{P_1'}{2}\right)R + \left[\frac{2A_5 + 2A_6 - A_3 - 2B_1 + T_0^2(4P_2' - 8P_2)e^{-2\sigma}}{8}\right]e^{2\sigma}f^2 \\
&+ \left[\left(T\frac{ds}{dT}\right)^2 B_4 + T\frac{d}{dT}(A_6 - 2A_5) + \frac{P_3'}{2}\right](\partial\sigma)^2 + \frac{T}{2}\left(\frac{dB_5}{dT} - \frac{A_3}{T} - \frac{dA_3}{dT}\right)a_i f^{ji}\partial_j\sigma\Bigg]
\end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

While the first three terms in (5.26) are Kaluza Klein gauge invariant, the last term is not. Let us pause, for a moment to explain this. In subsubsection 2.3.2 we have demonstrated that the integral $\int\sqrt{-g_4}J^0_S$ is Kaluza Klein gauge invariant *provided* that $\partial_\mu J^\mu_S = 0$. Now it must certainly be true that the correct entropy current is divergence free in equilibrium. However the most general entropy current (5.21) is not divergence free in equilibrium. The non gauge invariant term ion (5.26) results from such terms. The coefficients of these terms must immediately be set to zero (even without comparison with a particular form of the entropy). The coefficients of the remaining three terms in (5.26) must be equated with the coefficients of the corresponding terms in (5.20). In net we have four equations which allow us to solve for four of the entropy current coefficients, B_5, A_3, B_1 and B_4 in terms of the other two (A_5 and A_6) and P_i (the coefficients that appear in the partition function ie.the P_i).

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{dB_5}{dT} = \frac{A_3}{T} + \frac{dA_3}{dT} \\
&A_3 = P_1 + A_5 \\
&B_1 = -\frac{P_1}{2} + 2T_0^2 e^{-2\sigma} P_2 + A_5 + A_6 \\
&\left(T\frac{ds}{dT}\right)^2 B_4 = -\frac{P_3}{2} - T\frac{d}{dT}(A_6 - 2A_5)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

5.4.1 Entropy current with non-negative divergence

Above we have discussed the constraints on the entropy current from comparison with the total entropy of our system. In this subsubsection we will discuss the relationship between these constraints and those obtained by imposing the requirement of positivity of the entropy current.

In the study of the positivity of the divergence of the entropy current, it turns out that some coefficients in the entropy current are determined in terms of transport coefficients, while others are left free (more precisely these coefficients are constrained by inequalities involving transport coefficients). The determined coefficients turn out to be precisely those that multiply terms that are nonvanishing in equilibrium, namely A_3, A_5, A_6, B_1, B_4 and B_5. The six equations that determine these six parameters are

$$\begin{aligned}
A_5 &= 0 \\
A_6 &= 0 \\
\frac{dB_5}{dT} &= \frac{A_3}{T} + \frac{dA_3}{dT} \\
A_3 &= \kappa_1 \\
B_1 &= \frac{1}{4}\left[-\lambda_3 + T\frac{d\kappa_1}{dT} + \kappa_1\right] \\
\left(T\frac{ds}{dT}\right)^2 B_4 &= -\frac{1}{2}\left[\lambda_4 + 2T\frac{d\kappa_1}{dT} + T^2\frac{d^2\kappa_1}{dT^2}\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

The results (5.28) satisfy the constraints (5.27). In order to verify this one plugs in explicit results

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_3 &= -\frac{P_1}{2} + \frac{2}{3}(P_1' - T_0^2 e^{-2\sigma} P_2) + \left(\frac{s}{T}\frac{dT}{ds}\right)\left(2T_0^2 e^{-2\sigma} P_2' + P_3 - \frac{3}{2}P_1'\right) \\
\xi_4 &= \frac{2}{3}\left(P_1'' - P_1' - \frac{P_3}{4}\right) + \left(\frac{s}{T}\frac{dT}{ds}\right)\left(\frac{P_3'}{2} - P_3\right) \\
\zeta_2 &= -\frac{P_1}{6} - \left(\frac{s}{T}\frac{dT}{ds}\right)\frac{P_1'}{2} \\
\zeta_3 &= \frac{2P_1' - P_1}{3} + \left(\frac{s}{T}\frac{dT}{ds}\right)(P_3 - P_1') \\
\lambda_3 &= 3P_1 - 8T_0^2 e^{-2\sigma} P_2 - P_1' \\
\lambda_4 &= P_3 + P_1' - P_1'' \\
\kappa_2 &= P_1 - P_1' \\
\kappa_1 &= P_1
\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

for the transport coefficients in terms of action parameters into (5.28) and checks that the results are consistent with (5.27)

Our results (5.27) are compatible with but weaker than (5.28). (5.28) is equivalent to (5.27) together with $A_5 = A_6 = 0$. As A_5 and A_6 multiply terms that are nonvanishing in equilibrium, we find it surprising that ' our equilibrium study has not been powerful enough to demonstrate that A_5 and A_5 must actually vanish. It is possible that we have overlooked a simple principle that forces these coefficients to vanish without invoking the principle of entropy increase.

5.5 The conformal limit

[26]Let us consider Weyl transformation of the full four dimensional metric

$$\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} e^{2\phi(x)}.$$

In this subsection first we would like to write an partition function which is invariant under this transformation. In order to have conformal invariance this partition function will have fewer coefficients than the partition function given in (5.11). Then we shall analyze how it will constrain the stress tensor for a conformal fluid.

Under this transformation several three dimensional quantities transform as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\sigma} = \sigma + \phi, \quad \bar{a}_i &= a_i, \quad \bar{g}_{ij} = e^{2\phi} g_{ij} \\
(\nabla\bar{\sigma})^2 &= e^{-2\phi}\left[(\nabla\sigma)^2 + 2(\nabla\sigma).(\nabla\phi) + (\nabla\phi)^2\right] \\
\bar{R} &= e^{-2\phi}\left[R - 4\nabla^2\phi - 2(\nabla\phi)^2\right] \\
\bar{f}_{ij}\bar{f}^{ij} &= e^{-4\phi} f_{ij} f^{ij} \\
\sqrt{\bar{g}_3} &= e^{3\phi}\sqrt{g_3}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

---

[26] This subsection has been worked out in collaboration with R. Loganayagam.

Using (5.30) we can see that under this transformation the partition function (as given in(5.11)) will be invariant (assuming that the total derivative terms will integrate to zero) only if the coefficients P_i's satisfy the following constraints.

$$P_1(\sigma) = e_1 T_0 e^{-\sigma}, \quad P_2(\sigma) = \frac{e_2}{T_0 e^{-\sigma}} \text{ and } P_3(\sigma) = 2P_1(\sigma) \tag{5.31}$$

where e_1 and e_2 are two dimensionless constants.

Substituting (5.31) in (5.29) we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi_3 = \xi_4 = \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \lambda_4 = 0 \\ &\kappa_2 = 2\kappa_1 = 2e_1 T_0 e^{-\sigma} \\ &\lambda_3 = 4T_0 e^{-\sigma}(e_1 - 2e_2) \end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

These relations precisely match with our expectation for the independent transport coefficients of a conformally covariant stress tensor. Since for a conformally covariant stress tensor only two terms ($\omega_{\langle\mu a}\omega^a{}_{\nu\rangle}$ with coefficient λ_3 and $\left[R_{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} + K_{\langle\mu\nu\rangle}\right]$ with coefficient κ_1) can be non zero in equilibrium and a conformally invariant action also has only two free parameters, it follows that the existence of a partition function does not constrain the stress tensor of a conformal fluid.

6. Counting for second order charged fluids in 3+1 dimensions

In this section we will use the methods developed in this paper to answer the following question: how many transport coefficients are needed to specify the fluid dynamics of a relativistic charged fluid that may not preserve parity, at second order in the derivative expansion? We do not attempt to derive the detailed form of the equations so obtained; our presentation is merely at the level of counting. If the conjecture at the heart of this paper is correct, then an analysis of entropy positivity would yield the same number of transport coefficients; however that analysis is much more difficult to perform (even at the level of counting), and we do not attempt it here.

6.1 Parity Invariant case

Let us first consider the parity invariant case. Table 6.1 list the number of all the the parity preserving fluid plus background onshell independent data at second order. From this table this we see that the total number of symmetry allowed transport coefficients in stress-energy tensor and charge current in landau frame is

$$\text{tensors}(16) + \text{scalars}(18) + \text{vectors}(17) = 51. \tag{6.1}$$

Type	fluid+background data	In equilibrium
scalars	16	9
vectors	17	6
tensors	18	9

Table 9: parity even data for charged fluids at second order

Type	fluid+background	In equilibrium
pseudo scalars	6	4
pseudo vectors	9	2
pseudo tensors	12	6

Table 10: parity odd data for charged fluid at second order

Now let us consider the equilibrium of this system. The third column of table 6.1 also list the number of scalars, vectors and tensors that can be constructed out of σ, A_0, a_i, A_i and g^{ij}. The coefficient of these terms that are survive in equilibrium we refer to as 'non dissipative' coefficients while the remaining we refer to as 'dissipative' coefficients. In this case we have a total of 24 non dissipative coefficients. Now there are 9 scalars than can be constructed in equilibrium. We list them below

$$R^i_i, \ \nabla^i\sigma\nabla_i\sigma, \ f_{ij}f^{ij}, \ F_{ij}F^{ij}, \ F_{ij}f^{ij}, \ \nabla^i\sigma\nabla_i A_0, \ \nabla^i A_0\nabla_i A_0, \ \nabla^i\nabla_i\sigma, \ \nabla^i\nabla_i A_0 \tag{6.2}$$

The last two scalars are total derivatives and hence do not appear in the partition function. This tell us that the 24 non dissipative coefficients are determined in term of 7 independent coefficients that appear in the partition function which means that there will be 17 relation among the 24 non dissipative coefficients.

In summary the methods developed in this paper predict that parity invariant charged fluid dynamics is characterized by 7 non dissipative transport coefficients, together with 28 dissipative coefficients (7 scalars, 12 vectors and 9 tensors). Each of these 35 transport coefficients is an unspecified function of T and μ.

6.2 Parity Violating case

Let us now consider the parity non invariant charged fluids at second order. Table 6.2 lists all the parity odd data at second order. From this table we see that number of transport coefficients in the parity odd sector is

$$\text{pseudo tensors}(12) + \text{pseudo scalars}(6) + \text{pseudo vectors}(9) = 27. \tag{6.3}$$

The third column of table 6.2 that out of the 28 parity odd transport coefficients 12 are non dissipative.

Now we can construct four new scalars (pseudo) out of the sources. These are listed below

$$\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_i\sigma f_{jk}\ ,\quad \epsilon^{ijk}\partial_i A_0 f_{jk}\ ,\quad \epsilon^{ijk}\partial_i\sigma F_{jk}\ ,\quad \epsilon^{ijk}\partial_i A_0 F_{jk} \tag{6.4}$$

As such all of these scalars listed in (6.4) are total derivatives by themselves but only two of them can actually be written as total derivatives in the partition function since the coefficients that they will appear with are arbitrary functions of σ and A_0.

Any linear combination of the first two scalars can be rearranged as a total derivative term and another term that can not be written as total derivative.

$$\begin{aligned}
&K_1(A_0,\sigma)\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_i\sigma f_{jk} + K_2(A_0,\sigma)\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_i A_0 f_{jk}\\
&= \epsilon^{ijk}(\partial_i K) f_{jk} + \left(K_2(A_0,\sigma) - \frac{\partial K}{\partial A_0}\right)\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_i A_0 f_{jk}\\
&= \nabla_i\left[\epsilon^{ijk} K f_{jk}\right] + \left(K_2(A_0,\sigma) - \frac{\partial K}{\partial A_0}\right)\epsilon^{ijk}\partial_i A_0 f_{jk}\\
&\text{where}\\
&K = \int d\sigma K_1(A_0,\sigma)
\end{aligned}$$

Similar manipulation can be done for the last two scalars listed in (6.4).

Thus we see that the 12 parity odd non dissipative coefficients are determined in terms of 2 parity odd coefficients in the partition function which means that their would be 10 relations in parity odd sector.

In summary we predict that, at second order, we have 4 parity odd nondissipative transport coefficients, together with 2 pseudo scalar, 7 pseudo vector and 6 pseudo tensor dissipative coefficients, and total of 20 new coefficients.

7. Open Questions

The results reported in this paper suggest several natural follow up questions. In this section we list and discuss some of these questions, leaving an attempt to answer them to future work.

The main result of our paper is that two apparently different physical requirements, namely the requirement of existence of equilibrium in appropriate circumstances and the requirement of the existence of a point wise positive divergence entropy current, give the same constraints [27] on the equations of hydrodynamics in three specific contexts. Two questions immediately suggest themselves. Do the results of our paper extend to arbitrary order in the derivative expansion, as we have conjectured in this paper? If so, why is this the case? Definitive answers to these questions would be very interesting. A proof that the existence of equilibrium plus certain inequalities imply the existence of a positive divergence entropy current could demystify arguments based on the existence of an entropy current, and lead towards a fuller understanding of the second law of thermodynamics.

---

[27] We ignore the inequalities that follow from the principle of entropy increase in this statement.

In the main text of this paper we have derived constraints on the constitutive relations of hydrodynamics starting from the assumption of the existence of a partition function. In the appendices to this paper we have, however, demonstrated that all the constraints derived in this paper may also be derived from the weaker assumption that fluid admit stationary equilibrium configurations in stationary backgrounds. The integrability conditions from the demand that the currents and stress tensors in equilibrium follow from an action turned out to be automatic in the three examples studied in this paper. Is this always the case (we find this unlikely). In appropriate situations, do the Onsager relations follow from the demand that equilibrium is generated from a partition function?

Hydrodynamical equations that do not obey the constraints described in this paper are unphysical. Nonetheless these equations are well posed as partial differential equations. As a mathematical curiosity one could study such equations in their own right. What is the long time behaviour of a generic fluid flow with such equations? As equilibrium does not generically exist, flows must, presumably, continue to slosh around in a roughly oscillatory manner in the long time limit. It seems clear that such indefinite oscillatory behaviour is inconsistent with the second law of thermodynamics, in agreement with the results and conjectures of this paper[28].

In another direction, the analysis of this paper has led to the consideration of partition functions dual to equilibrium hydrodynamics as a function of background metrics and gauge fields. Given a partition function as a function of sources, it is standard in quantum field theory to Legendre transform this object in order to obtain an offshell 1PI effective action for the theory. It may be possible implement this procedure on our partition function to obtain an offshell action for fluid dynamics (albeit one applies only to equilibrium configurations) (see [23] for related work). If so, what is the interpretation of this action in the context of the fluid gravity map of the AdS/CFT correspondence?

We find it interesting that we have been able to encapsulate the effect of the chiral anomaly in a $3+1$ dimensional fluid in a *local* contribution to the partition function. It should be possible to generalize this term to capture the effects of anomalies in $2n$ dimensions for an arbitrary integer n and thereby reproduce the results of [8].

It would be very interesting to generalize the work presented in this paper away from equilibrium. Time dependent partition functions are not in general local functionals of their sources. These partition functions are, however usually generated by coupling local field theory dynamics to sources. Can time dependent correlators (perhaps in a Schwinger - Keldysh set up) be generated by minimally coupling a local 'action' for hydrodynamics to the background metric or gauge field? How does this tie in with the fluid gravity map of the AdS/CFT correspondence?

---

[28] We thank T. Takayanagi for discussions on this issue.

Apart from the traditional requirement of positivity of the entropy current, and the requirement of the existence of equilibrium, emphasized in this paper, one may also attempt to constrain the equations of fluid dynamics by demanding that correlation functions computed from these equations obey all the symmetry properties that follow from the existence of an underlying action (see e.g. [18]). Any system that posseses a well defined partition function, as studied in this paper, automatically obeys all these symmetry properties for time independent correlators. Do the constraints on hydrodynamics that follow from the existence of an equilibrium partition function automatically also guarantee that the symmetry requirements on time dependent correlators are also met?

It would be interesting to investigate whether the methods and results of this paper carry over to the study of relativistic superfluid hydrodynamics, and in particular whether they can be used to rederive the results of [6, 5, 24, 4, 7] for the most general allowed form of the equations of superfluid hydrodynamics at first order.

Finally, it would be very interesting to investigate the interplay of the principal constraint described in this paper (namely the existence of equilibrium for an arbitrary static metric) with the AdS/CFT correspondence. Is this constraint merely from the structure of AdS/CFT, for an arbitrary bulk Lagrangian, or does it impose constraints on possible α' corrections to the equations of Einstein gravity? Within gravity can one prove directly that the existence of equilibrium implies the existence of a Wald entropy increase theorem (and so the existence [25] of a positive divergence entropy current)(see [26] for related discussion)?

We feel that several of these questions touch on very interesting, and sometimes deep issues in the study of both dissipative systems as well as gravity. We hope to report on progress on some of these issues in the future.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge useful discussions and correspondences with S.M. Bhattacharjee, K. Damle, D. Dhar, S. Dutta, G. Mandal, S. Mukherji, Y. Nakayama, A. Sen, T. Takayanagi, S. Trivedi, S. Wadia and A. Yarom. We would also like to thank R. Loganayagam and T. Takayanagi for helpful comments on a preliminary draft of this paper. S.M., S.B., N.B., S.J. and T.S. would like to acknowledge the hospitality

National Strings Meeting 2012. The work of S.M. was supported in part by a Swarnajayanti Fellowship. S.B., S.M., S.J. and T.S. would also like to acknowledge our debt to the people of India for their generous and steady support to research in the basic sciences. The work of J.B. is supported by World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative), MEXT, Japan. The work of N.B. is supported by NWO Veni Grant.

A. First order charged fluid dynamics from equilibrium in 3+1 dimensions

In this appendix we shall rederive the results obtained in section 3 making fewer assumptions than in that section. In this Appendix we make no reference to the equilibrium partition function, and nowhere assume its existence. The only demand that we make on our system is that it admit an equilibrium solution in an arbitrary background of the form (1.1), (1.9). We also assume that the zeroth order equilibrium configuration is given by equation 1.21.

As discussed in section 3 for the parity violating first order charged fluid, one can not construct any scalar or pseudo scalar at equilibrium and hence temperature or chemical potential does not get corrected to this order. To the first order, the velocity corrections can be written as

$$\delta u^i = -\frac{e^{-\sigma} b_1}{4}\epsilon^{ijk} f_{jk} + b_2 B^i_K + b_3 \partial^i \sigma + b_4 \partial^i A_0 \tag{A.1}$$

The dissipative part of the stress tensor and the current are written in equation 3.1. Since, $\partial_\alpha \frac{\mu}{T} - \frac{E_\alpha}{T}$, θ, $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ evaluate to zero on equilibrium, we are left with

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{\mu\nu} &= 0 \\ J^\mu_{diss} &= \alpha_1 E^\mu + \alpha_2 \mathcal{P}^{\mu\alpha}\partial_\alpha T + \xi_\omega \omega^\mu + \xi_B B^\mu \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

We shall now impose that the equations A.2,A.1 obeys the conservation laws

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} &= \mathcal{F}^{\nu\lambda}\tilde{J}_\lambda \\ \nabla_\mu \tilde{J}^\mu &= C E.B \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E^\mu &= \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}u_\nu, \quad B^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}u_\nu \mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma} \\ \omega^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}u_\nu \nabla_\rho u_\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

For computational simplicity, we shall take thermodynamic variables temperature T and $\nu = \frac{\mu}{T}$ as the independent ones. Some useful formulas that are used in computation are

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_\mu \nu &= \frac{E_\mu}{T}, \quad \nabla_\mu P = qE_\mu + \frac{\epsilon + P}{T}\nabla_\mu T \\
\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\Big|_\nu &= \frac{\epsilon + P}{T}, \quad \frac{\partial P}{\partial \nu}\Big|_T = qT \\
\nabla_\mu \omega^\mu &= -\frac{2}{T}\omega_\mu \nabla^\mu T, \quad \nabla_\mu B^\mu = -2\omega_\mu E^\mu - \frac{1}{T}B_\mu \nabla^\mu T.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

Now using formulas in equation A.5, it is straight forward to evaluate the scalar equations namely

$$\begin{aligned}
u_\nu \nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} &= u_\nu \mathcal{F}^{\nu\lambda}\tilde{J}_\lambda \\
\nabla_\mu \tilde{J}^\mu &= CE.B.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

On setting coefficients of independent data in A.6, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
T\partial_T(\xi_\omega + qb_1) &= 2(\xi_\omega + qb_1), \quad T\partial_T(\xi_B + qb_2) = (\xi_B + qb_2) \\
\partial_\nu(\xi_\omega + qb_1) &= 2T(\xi_B + qb_2), \quad \partial_\nu(\xi_B + qb_2) = CT \\
\partial_\nu[(\epsilon + P)b_2] &= T(\xi_B + qb_2), \quad \partial_T[(\epsilon + P)b_2] = \frac{2}{T}(\epsilon + P)b_2 \\
\partial_\nu[(\epsilon + P)b_1] &= T(\xi_\omega + qb_1) + 2T(\epsilon + P)b_2, \quad \partial_T[(\epsilon + P)b_1] = \frac{3}{T}(\epsilon + P)b_1 \\
\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 &= b_3 = b_4 = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

The vector equation $\mathcal{P}_{\mu\sigma}\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{P}_{\mu\sigma}\mathcal{F}^{\nu\lambda}\tilde{J}_\lambda$ gives only one new constraint, which is given by

$$2b_2 = \frac{(\xi_\omega + qb_1)}{\epsilon + P}. \tag{A.8}$$

On solving A.7, one obtains solution for $b's$ and $\xi's$ but with four arbitrary constants. Now using A.8 one can eliminate one of the constants in terms of other one. Finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1 &= \frac{T^3}{\epsilon + P}\big(\frac{2}{3}\nu^3 C + 2\nu^2 z_0 + 4\nu z_2 + z_1\big), \\
b_2 &= \frac{T^2}{\epsilon + P}\big(\frac{1}{2}\nu^2 C + \nu z_0 + z_2\big)
\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= C\nu^2 T^2\big(1 - \frac{2q}{3(\epsilon + P)}\nu T\big) + T^2\big[(2\nu z_0 + 2z_2) - \frac{qT}{\epsilon + P}(2\nu^2 z_0 + 4\nu z_2 + z_1)\big], \\
a_2 &= C\nu T\big(1 - \frac{q}{2(\epsilon + P)}\nu T\big) + T\big(z_0 - \frac{qT}{\epsilon + P}(\nu z_0 + z_2)\big).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

Now identifying $z_0 = 2C_0,\ z_2 = C_2$ and $z_1 = 4C_1$ we see that equations A.9, A.10 are exactly same as equations 3.11, 3.12.

B. First order parity odd charged fluid dynamics from equilibrium in 2+1 dimension

In this section we shall derive the constraints on parity odd charged fluid dynamics in 2+1 dimension at first order using just the assumption that there exists a equilibrium solution. As discussed in §4, in this case there are 4 transport coefficients and there are 6 corrections to the fluid fields. In §4, we were able to express all these 10 functions in terms of 2 arbitrary functions in the action (4.7). This implies that among these 10 functions only 2 are independent which in turn implies there should exist 8 relations among these 10 functions. In this appendix we shall present these 8 relations which follows just by demanding that there exists a equilibrium solution.

We consider the corrections to the fluid fields as in (4.4) and write down the first order corrections to the stress tensor and the charge current. The equation of motion of fluid dynamics are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} &= \mathcal{F}^{\nu\lambda} J_\lambda \\ \nabla_\mu J^\mu &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

Note in particular that the charge current is conserved even in the presence of a background gauge field due to the absence of any anomaly in 2+1 dimension.

Now the scalar equations $\nabla_\mu J^\mu = 0$ and $u^{(0)}_\nu \left(\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{F}^{\nu\lambda} J_\lambda\right) = 0$ yields the following constraints respectively

$$\begin{aligned} T\frac{\partial}{\partial T}\left(\tilde\chi_E + \rho\xi_E\right) - T\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left(\tilde\chi_T + \rho\xi_T\right) + \mu\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left(\tilde\chi_E + \rho\xi_E\right) = 0, \\ T\frac{\partial}{\partial T}\left((\epsilon+P)\xi_E\right) - T\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left((\epsilon+P)\xi_T\right) + \mu\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left((\epsilon+P)\xi_E\right) + T(\tilde\chi_T + \rho\xi_T) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

The vector fluid equations $P^{(0)}_{\rho\nu} \left(\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{F}^{\nu\lambda} J_\lambda\right) = 0$, yields the rest of the 6 constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde\chi_B &= \frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\tau_B + \frac{\partial P}{\partial \mu} m_B, \\ \tilde\chi_\Omega &= \frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\tau_\Omega + \frac{\partial P}{\partial \mu} m_\Omega, \\ (\epsilon+P)\ \xi_E &= \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T}\tau_\Omega + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mu} m_\Omega, \\ (\epsilon+P)\ T\ \xi_T &= \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial T}\tau_\Omega + \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \mu} m_\Omega, \\ \tilde\chi_E + \rho\xi_E &= \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T}\tau_B + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mu} m_B, \\ T\ \left(\tilde\chi_T + \rho\xi_T\right) &= \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial T}\tau_B + \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \mu} m_B. \end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

Note that the first two constraints in (B.3) are identical to the constraints (4.9) obtained from comparison with most general equilibrium action in §4.3. It is straightforward to show that the rest of the constraints in (B.2) and (B.3) are solved by the (4.10), (4.11), (4.12) and (4.13).

C. Second order uncharged fluid dynamics from equilibrium in 3+1 dimensions

In this appendix we will do a similar computation as done in last two appendices for 3+1 dimensional uncharged fluids at second order. The non-trivial second order (stress tensor conservation equation orthogonal to fluid velocity) equation is,

$$\nabla_i(T_2 e^\sigma) + e^\sigma\left(\sum_{n=1}^{2} v_n V_n^j + \tilde{v}\tilde{V}\right) f_{ij} + \frac{e^\sigma}{P_T}\nabla_\mu \Pi^\mu_i = 0 \tag{C.1}$$

Since, temperature correction is a scalar, we can assume the most generalized temperature correction to be of the following form,

$$T_2 e^\sigma = \sum_{m=1}^{4} t_m S_m + \tilde{t}\tilde{S} \tag{C.2}$$

Four dimensional divergence can be expressed as,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\mu \Pi^\mu_\nu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_4}}\partial_\mu\left(\sqrt{-g_4}\,\tilde{g}^{\mu\alpha}\Pi_{\alpha\nu}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu(g_{\alpha\beta})\Pi^{\alpha\beta}\\ &= \nabla^i\Pi_{ik} + \nabla^i\sigma\Pi_{ik}\\ &= (\alpha_A - T\partial_T\alpha_A)\nabla^m\sigma\Pi^A_{mi} + \alpha_A\nabla^m\Pi^A_{mi},\end{aligned} \tag{C.3}$$

where, we have expressed the two derivative correction to equilibrium stress tensor Π of 5.7 in a compact form as, ($\Pi_{ij} = \alpha_A\Pi^A_{ij}, \quad A = 1, 11$). Using following simple derivative formulae

$$\begin{aligned}&\nabla^i\big(R_{ik} - \frac{R}{2}g_{ik}\big) = 0, \quad \nabla^i(\nabla_i\nabla_k - g_{ik}\nabla^2)\sigma = \nabla^i R_{ik},\\ &\nabla^i(\nabla_i\sigma\nabla_k\sigma - g_{ik}(\nabla\sigma)^2) = \nabla^2\sigma\nabla_k\sigma,\\ &\nabla^i\big((f_i{}^j f_{jk} + \frac{f^2}{4}g_{ik})e^{2\sigma}\big) = e^{2\sigma}\big((\nabla^i f_{ij})f^j{}_k + 2\nabla^i\sigma(f_i{}^j f_{jk} + \frac{f^2}{4}g_{ik})\big),\end{aligned} \tag{C.4}$$

we solve for complete equilibrium solution. In the equation C.1, we get following three different kinds of terms in parity even sector

$$\nabla^i(\text{Tensor})_{ik}, \quad \nabla_k(\text{Scalar}), \quad \nabla_k\sigma(\text{Scalar}), \tag{C.5}$$

and following four kinds of terms in the parity odd sector,

$$\begin{aligned} &\epsilon^{mkl} f_{kl} f_{ji} f^{j}_{m}, \ \epsilon_{imn} f^{mn} \nabla^2 \sigma, \ \epsilon_{mni} \nabla^2 f^{mn} \\ &\epsilon^{mnl} \nabla_i (\nabla_m \sigma f_{nl}), \epsilon^{mnl} \nabla_i \sigma \nabla_m \sigma f_{nl} \end{aligned} \tag{C.6}$$

Setting the coefficients of ∇_k(Scalar) to zero, we get the temperature correction as [29],

$$t_1 = -\frac{b_1}{P_T}, \ \ t_2 = -\frac{b_2}{P_T}, \ \ t_4 = -\frac{b_4}{P_T}, \ \ t_3 = -\frac{b_3 + \frac{1}{2}(a_2 - T\partial_T a_2)}{P_T}. \tag{C.7}$$

Setting the coefficients of the other terms to zero and using C.7, we get, the velocity corrections as

$$v_1 = \frac{3a_4 - T\partial_T a_4}{T^2 P_T}, \quad v_2 = \frac{a_4}{T^2 P_T} \tag{C.8}$$

and the relations among the transport coefficients as given in 5.16. Similarly, setting the coefficients of the independent terms in the parity odd sector to zero, we get all parity odd coefficients zero, that is

$$\tilde{t} = \tilde{v} = \delta_1 = \delta_4 = \delta_5 = 0.$$

References

[1] L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, *Textbook on Theoretical Physics. VOL. 6: Fluid Mechanics*, .

[2] S. Putterman, *Superfluid hydrodynamics*, .

[3] D. T. Son and P. Surowka, *Hydrodynamics with Triangle Anomalies*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **103** (2009) 191601 [`0906.5044`].

[4] J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Minwalla and A. Yarom, *A Theory of first order dissipative superfluid dynamics*, `1105.3733`.

[5] J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya and S. Minwalla, *Dissipative Superfluid dynamics from gravity*, *JHEP* **1104** (2011) 125 [`1101.3332`].

[6] C. P. Herzog, N. Lisker, P. Surowka and A. Yarom, *Transport in holographic superfluids*, *JHEP* **1108** (2011) 052 [`1101.3330`].

[7] Y. Neiman and Y. Oz, *Anomalies in Superfluids and a Chiral Electric Effect*, *JHEP* **1109** (2011) 011 [`1106.3576`].

[8] R. Loganayagam, *Anomaly Induced Transport in Arbitrary Dimensions*, `1106.0277`.

[9] P. Romatschke, *Relativistic Viscous Fluid Dynamics and Non-Equilibrium Entropy*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27** (2010) 025006 [`0906.4787`].

---

[29] we have used $\nabla^i \sigma \nabla_i \nabla_k \sigma = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_k (\nabla \sigma)^2$.

[10] S. Bhattacharyya, *Constraints on the second order transport coefficients of an uncharged fluid*, `1201.4654`.

[11] S. Dubovsky, L. Hui and A. Nicolis, *Effective field theory for hydrodynamics: Wess-Zumino term and anomalies in two spacetime dimensions*, `1107.0732`. 9 pages.

[12] S. Minwalla, *A Framework for Superfluid Hydrodynamics*, *Talk at Strings 2011, Upsalla.*

[13] S. Bhattacharyya, V. E. Hubeny, S. Minwalla and M. Rangamani, *Nonlinear Fluid Dynamics from Gravity*, *JHEP* **0802** (2008) 045 [`0712.2456`].

[14] V. E. Hubeny and M. Rangamani, *A Holographic view on physics out of equilibrium*, *Adv.High Energy Phys.* **2010** (2010) 297916 [`1006.3675`].

[15] M. Rangamani, *Gravity and Hydrodynamics: Lectures on the fluid-gravity correspondence*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26** (2009) 224003 [`0905.4352`].

[16] S. Bhattacharyya, S. Lahiri, R. Loganayagam and S. Minwalla, *Large rotating AdS black holes from fluid mechanics*, *JHEP* **0809** (2008) 054 [`0708.1770`].

[17] R. Loganayagam and P. Surowka, *Anomaly/Transport in an Ideal Weyl gas*, `1201.2812`.

[18] K. Jensen, M. Kaminski, P. Kovtun, R. Meyer, A. Ritz *et. al.*, *Parity-Violating Hydrodynamics in 2+1 Dimensions*, `1112.4498`.

[19] J.-H. Gao, Z.-T. Liang, S. Pu, Q. Wang and X.-N. Wang, *Chiral anomaly and local polarization effect from quantum kinetic approach*, `1203.0725`.

[20] S. Pu, J.-h. Gao and Q. Wang, *A consistent description of kinetic equation with triangle anomaly*, *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 094017 [`1008.2418`].

[21] Y. Neiman and Y. Oz, *Relativistic Hydrodynamics with General Anomalous Charges*, *JHEP* **1103** (2011) 023 [`1011.5107`].

[22] W. A. Bardeen and B. Zumino, *Consistent and Covariant Anomalies in Gauge and Gravitational Theories*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B244** (1984) 421. Revised version.

[23] S. Dubovsky, L. Hui, A. Nicolis and D. T. Son, *Effective field theory for hydrodynamics: thermodynamics, and the derivative expansion*, `1107.0731`.

[24] S. Lin, *An anomalous hydrodynamics for chiral superfluid*, *Phys.Rev.* **D85** (2012) 045015 [`1112.3215`]. 21 pages.

[25] S. Bhattacharyya *et. al.*, *Local Fluid Dynamical Entropy from Gravity*, *JHEP* **06** (2008) 055 [`0803.2526`].

[26] S. Chapman, Y. Neiman and Y. Oz, *Fluid/Gravity Correspondence, Local Wald Entropy Current and Gravitational Anomaly*, `1202.2469`.